

δύστανε, μοίρας ὅσον παροίχει

Instauration®

VOL. 21, NO. 6

MAY 1996

Liberal Morality on the Fast Track

Those whose reading extends beyond their local newspaper should be aware of the "inside" books on the different regions of the world by journalist John Gunther several decades ago. Although Gunther was never heavy-handed in intruding his political views in his most popular books, it was clear from some of his other writings that he could be classified as a liberal. His admiration for Franklin Roosevelt could only be described as heated.

In 1955, Gunther published *Inside Africa*, a weighty tome of over 900 pages that presents an interesting portrait of that continent in its final days of colonial rule. In his chapter on South Africa, he made it clear he was strongly opposed to apartheid.

I emphasize Gunther's liberal credentials because of the light they shed on a passage which appears in a chapter of *Inside Africa*, entitled, "Arab World in North Africa." A paragraph begins: "The two worst Arab traits are probably (a) cruelty, and (b) homosexuality." Gunther then goes on to speculate that Arab homosexuality is a result of both the acceptance of polygamy, wherein successful men are able to have up to four wives, leaving some men with no partners, and the rigid sexual segregation of Arab society, which prevents the social interaction of the sexes common in West-

ern society. The author concludes, "the Arab youth finds his sexual outlet either with prostitutes or among members of his own sex."

That a good liberal like Gunther could so openly and unapologetically express unequivocal condemnation of homosexuality is a sharp



John Gunther

reminder of the highly mobile nature of liberal morality. Forty years ago Third Sexism was seen as an evil aberration even by someone who liked the New Deal and disliked apartheid. Nowadays this kind of attitude by any politically correct liberal is practically unimaginable. Gunther would be among the first to be surprised if someone had told him that in less than 40 years after he wrote his book about Africa a


U.S. president would begin his term in office by advocating the admission of gays into the armed forces. Clinton's willingness to pander to the homosexual lobby is not unique in the history of the Democratic Party. Consider the illustrious career of Roberta Achtenberg at the Dept. of Housing and Urban Development.

Confronted with such dramatic examples of liberalism's moral relativism, I can only wonder just where the goal posts will be 40 years from now. Will there be a Cabinet post for Man-Boy Lovers? Will transvestites be given preference in hiring? Once upon a time liberals assured us that giving the Negro his "rights" by no means implied a steady progression which could only culminate in miscegenation.

Nowadays white parents who object to their teenage daughter's proclivity towards interracial dating are scorned and ridiculed on TV talk shows.

Present-day liberal-minority "morality," if such exists, is one big slippery slope. Behavior once seen as violating all standards of decency and civility is now portrayed as not only normal, but even superior. Irony of ironies, those who dare register their objections to this barnyard behavior are threatened with "hate speech" prosecutions.

The Safety Valve



In keeping with *Instauration's* policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.

□ The 1996 New York City mayoralty race got underway in March when Rudolf Giuliani flew to Israel to court Jewish votes. While there he tucked a note into the Wailing Wall. A prayer for Jewish campaign funds?

200

□ A Hanukkah stamp, which pictures a menorah, was unveiled March 5 at the B'nai B'rith Klutznick Jewish Museum in Washington. It is in response to (or revenge for) the Madonna stamp that slipped by the Postal Service censors in 1995.

200

□ The news is full of "valiant" Israeli efforts to curb "terrorists" and "capture them all." For every terrorist captured, two more are born. What madness for us to take sides!

322

□ Any nation that permits its racial, cultural and religious heritage to be trashed by intruding alien minorities is already floating around in the toilet. All that remains is the flush.

287

□ William Safire has a 1 to 10 anti-Semitism scale. Hitler rated a 10. Safire claims the typical "Jews are Great!" American gets a 1. Farrakhan scores 7, Pat Buchanan 5. Apparently a few common-sense remarks about Israel make you half as bad as Adolf.

668

□ African countries periodically need fresh "development loans" to replenish their leaders' Swiss bank accounts.

901

□ The Wall St. Journal supports a Constitutional amendment stating, "There shall be

open borders." In the countless articles written on the subject of unrestricted immigration, the question of just how many immigrants would come is seldom discussed. Mexico has 90 million people, half under 20. A sizable number would gladly move to the U.S.

701

□ We have all met people like Hillary—so certain they know what's best for everybody that they are genuinely puzzled and angered by a word of criticism.

877

□ The more whites are outnumbered by non-whites in what was once white living space, you would think the more race-conscious they would become and the more impervious to an alien doctrine which seeks to submerge them in a maelstrom of miscegenation. Unfortunately the opposite seems to be the case. Here in South Texas the intermarriage rate between Anglos and Mexicans is at an all-time high. The local media are ecstatic at the hybridization. Greatly favored is the adoption by white couples of nonwhite and partly white children. Simultaneously a concerted assault is being made upon the last bastion of Anglo life—the all-white churches.

787

□ It would be interesting to make a list of the groups that can be criticized, such as whites, Arabs, Christians and Germans, and those groups that can't be criticized, Jews being at the top of the list.

762

□ A new 206-page scholarly tome is out: *Myth and Madness—The Psychodynamics of Anti-Semitism*, which searches for the causes of hatred for Jews. Anti-Semitism is a mystery only because the patently obvious causes must be ignored.

899

□ South Carolinians think they are well off just because they have some foreign companies providing jobs. But where does the profit of these German or Japanese firms go? They do not stay here.

294

□ While made to appear the riddle of all time, the solution to ending the Palestinian-Israeli conflict is quite simple: Israel must end its occupation of all its neighbours' lands, including East Jerusalem. Israel must stop thumbing its nose at a world which grows ever more dangerous. Today the Zionist state is armed to the teeth with nuclear weapons. Tomorrow an Islamic country could be wielding "the great equalizer."

Canadian subscriber

□ Americans and New Yorkers in particular are sick to death of the UN with its demands for more financial support, more troops to enforce its policies and a greater say for the

have-not nations. If on some lucky future date the UN decides to move to premises outside New York, what better site could be chosen than Jerusalem, where its moral and social teachings could be propagated to the world along with those of the major religions—Judaism, Christianity and Islam? If any city deserves to be internationalized for both religious and secular reasons, it is Jerusalem.

100

□ America's dwindling white majority is like the frog that doesn't notice the pot of water he's in is slowly being brought to a boil. Buchanan's unpardonable sin is warning the frog.

654

□ I know of no more wretched creature on the face of the earth than the white Gentile liberal. After more than four decades of minority crime, violence, drugs, gang warfare, illegitimacy, legalized porn, public vulgarity and welfare, he insists that life in these Uglified States of America is better for whites than it was 45 years ago.

782

□ Jews don't actually believe in all those genocidal passages in their Talmudic scriptures. They just keep them there to preserve Jewish traditions. But those naughty historical revisionists who dig up facts detrimental to Jewish interests are definitely up to no good. Rabbis may ponder the sexual eligibility of three-year-old girls and nine-year-old boys, but digging up the names of Jewish commissars is *streng verboten*.

420

□ With all this to-do about Pat Buchanan and anti-Semitism, I'm beginning to worry about myself. Many years ago in an unguarded conversation with a friend I carelessly expressed

CONTENTS

Liberal Morality on the Fast Track.	1
The Elephant Should Get Real. . . .	4
Scattershot Rebels.	4
Suleiman the Magnificent.	5
Anthony Lewis, Warmonger.	6
No to Neoconnery.	7
The Challenge.	9
Plutocrats Have Got to Go!.	11
Unusual Movie.	12
Child Watches Mother Killed.	12
Trials of an Ethnostate.	13
Backtalk.	18
Inklings.	20
Cultural Catacombs.	22
Talking Numbers.	23
Primate Watch.	24
Waspishly Yours.	25
Satcom Sam.	26
Notes from the Sceptred Isle.	28
Report from the Darkening Tip.	29
Elsewhere.	30
Stirrings.	32

Instauration

is published 12 times a year by
Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.
Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

Annual Subscription

\$35 (third class)
\$45 (first class)
\$48 Canada
\$50 foreign (surface)
\$67 foreign (air)

Single copy price \$3, postpaid
Magazine is mailed in plain white envelope

Wilmot Robertson, editor

Make checks payable to Howard Allen.
Florida residents, please add 6% sales tax.

Third-class mail is not forwarded.
Advise change of address well in advance.
ISSN 0277-2302

©1996 Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.

an opinion regarding the Jewish composer, Gustav Mahler. I said I preferred Mozart to Mahler. Ever since, I've been afraid that my words might be mulled over by the thought police. I'm debating whether to go down to the police station and turn myself in.

984

□ Exit polls in Arizona showed Buchanan winning by 3 to 2 over Dole. When Forbes came out of nowhere to win, all Pat could do was shake his head and wonder what happened. Has anyone ever examined the software inside the vote-counting computers?

113

□ Thanks to Clinton, Chosen influence has never been greater in the U.S. Nonetheless, Jews can't rest easy. Their numbers being few, they have to exercise control through non-Jews. Can they be sure what a President Dole might do, particularly if he realized that his earthly stay was about over?

111

□ Has anyone compared the maniacal attacks on tobacco to the silent forbearance on hard liquor? Drunk driving anyone? Squad cars don't park outside bars. If the Bronfman family had the monopoly on tobacco that it has on booze, would the anti-cigarette campaign be as noisy?

468

□ Despite its exposure to decades of secular liberalism, the American people still prefer the religious right to the irreligious left, the Christian Coalition to the Jewish cabal, spiritual to materialistic values.

102

□ I've done enough reading and studying to know that many parts of what is now the U.S. are lost. White separatists should sit down and figure out what parts of the country are best for their survival and move there. Personally I would like to see as many separatists as possible concentrate in West Virginia, which has an overwhelmingly white population and some great real estate buys.

255

□ The other day one of cable's anthropological "documentaries" featured scenes of Australian aborigines "at home." The creatures were incredibly ugly and primitive—almost the missing links between ape-man and Aryan man. One of the half-naked was squatting on the ground, threshing wild grain with a stick, much like a monkey beating on a drum. These people, we were told by the smarmy commentator, represented some of the last of the beautiful "hunters and gatherers."

981

□ After a Jewish female judge put California's Proposition 187 on hold, does anyone still have any doubts that ours is a "guided democracy?"

943

□ There are over a million Hutu refugees in Zaire. Burundi is about to blow. What is the

U.S. going to do about it, the liberal press asks? The case for making this "all our fault" is even weaker than in Haiti or Somalia, but who cares? Whenever there's a mud quake, it's our duty to mop up.

820

□ Since Dole is going to be the Republican nominee, let me offer the first official Bob Dole joke: Did you hear about the movie Hollywood made about the Bob Dole candidacy? *Dead Man Walking.*

752

□ In the heyday of communism, the charge of Red contacts was brushed off as a McCarthyite slur—guilt by association. Even after the verdict was in on the Rosenbergs and Alger Hiss, mass media references to them as "alleged" or "accused" spies continued. Now, however, if your third cousin once attended an NRA meeting or your father-in-law's dentist voted for David Duke, you are a Nazi.

970

□ Whenever I see an American flag blowing in the breeze, I always think, "It's waving good-bye."

024

□ Free men discriminate. Slaves do not.

891

□ TV pundit George Will berated Buchanan for praising our European heritage. Heaven forbid that anyone should propose Germans did more than Gabonese in building America!

110

□ Clinton's speeches call for lockin' 'em up. His judicial appointments favor lettin' 'em loose.

566

□ Our masters don't care if our kids learn how to add in school. They can import Asian Indians to do math chores. What the educationists want our kids to learn is that the spectacle of one man kissing another man is a normal display of affection.

204

□ Reagan's "Eleventh Commandment" was that Republicans should not attack each other. Yet they have accused Buchanan of every sin short of cannibalism! This reveals the phony character of the so-called Republican Revolution. It mainly consists of increasing welfare giveaways only 10% instead of the Democrats' 30%.

912

□ In what sense did we win the Cold War when the worst enemies of our culture increasingly control our country?

308

□ Perhaps the reason massacres in Black Africa and Bosnia have so little impact is because we're brainwashed into thinking nothing comes even close to being as horrible as the Holocaust. The use of the word "genocide" for anything but the main event calls forth

dark hints of anti-Semitism. It's hard for the semi-literate public to grasp the fine distinction that what's happening around the world is bad, but whoa! Never that bad!

544

□ When Dole slammed the Hollywood smut factory, it was denounced as anti-Semitism, even though the Senator never referred directly to Jews, save in terms of praise!

950

□ Most major cities have two common characteristics: skyscrapers towering over streets clogged with menacing muds; cabbies fresh off the boat from a Third World hell-hole. If Abdul or Izzy understood English, I'd love to ask them how they got here and how many siblings and cousins will follow. Meanwhile I clutch my briefcase tighter, praying I'll reach my office safely.

112

□ Ever notice how when the parent of a black athlete is interviewed it's always the mother? San Antonio Spurs's star David Robinson's mom recently averred that she always knew her boy would be a big success, but "thought it would be as a neurosurgeon." That's what all black athletes would be, if it weren't for whitey.

033

□ Israel speaks of walling off Palestinian-controlled areas. The same liberals who are horrified by anything other than a Welcome Wagon on our Mexican border are all for the Jewish Wall.

890

□ By making ourselves the enemy of a billion Muslims, terrorism in the U.S. is certain to increase in the years to come. Few of our liberties will survive. Of course, the real terrorists won't be bothered at all!

626

□ Orwell's prescient *1984* has many parallels today. Instead of Big Brother watching over us, we have Big Sister Hillary. In Orwell's dystopia today's axioms become tomorrow's anathema and yesterday's "truths" go down the "memory hole" and never happened.

333

□ Shouldn't a stateless people, ubiquitous in Europe and victims of the Nazi death camps be given their own country in the ancestral Asian homeland they left centuries ago? How strange that Gypsies aren't demanding a hunk of India!


519

□ Jane Fonda would gladly have shot at American jets over Hanoi, rather than just pose atop Uncle Ho's anti-aircraft guns. Little if any harm was done to her career. In contrast, Anita Bryant's flourishing career crashed and burned when she dared criticize gays. She's a sales clerk now. Instauration better stop slamming the Liddys and the Limbaughs. They are smart enough not to criticize the Chosen.

145

The Elephant Should Get Real

Now that there is a temporary lull in the election hullabaloo mania, it's time for a little deep think. Much of the support for the Republican Party comes from people who consider—correctly—the Democratic Party as the party of minorities, a fact that the G.O.P. junta is careful not to advertise. Most minorityites believe that the Republican Party is white bread from heel to heel. If only it were true! Clinton's problem is to keep pandering to the minorities, but not to the extent of being viewed as a racial apostate. It's a tricky political tightrope, but so far the President hasn't fallen off.



If there's any hope for the American Majority in politics, the Republican Party has to become what so many of its members secretly and devoutly wish it to become—an openly pro-white party. Third parties divide the Majority vote, serving only as spoilers—though in rare cases they may swing enough votes from one party to elect the candidates of another. If any third party should ever get to the point of unseating a major party, it would only be the result of a long, intense and dedicated organizational effort.

Short of some catastrophe the Republican and Democratic Parties will be around for a long time to come. Instead of flirting with third parties, serious Majority members should put all their physical and mental energy into remaking the Republican Party in their image and selling this image to the rank and file.

As Instauration has said previously, the Grand Old Party must be "cleansed" of its paleos and neos, many of whose principles and policies can hardly be distinguished from those of the Democrats. It must be drilled into the heads of future G.O.P. leaders that only racism can defeat racism, that only unfettered white racism is dynamic enough to combat the various breeds of racism that dominate the Democratic Party.



In the course of this racial conflict, it must be made plain that any white who is a Democrat is a betrayer of his own kind. White Democrats must be shunned like lepers. Any white who belongs to the Democratic Party should be treated like Bosnian Serbs treat Bosnian Muslims and vice versa. When the day comes that white Democrats are given the silent treatment by other members of their families, American politics will start making sense and come out in the sunlight. The split in the white ranks will end. The G.O.P. will be free of its liberal and minority moles. Jews would have to shut up, shape up or leave for Israel. As today, politics will no longer be Republicans versus Democrats, but whites versus nonwhites. They may keep their old names, but in reality they will be a white party and a nonwhite party.

All this political sea change has to happen in the next half century or the Majority might as well throw in the towel.

Scattershot Rebels

A lot of strange people have been bobbing up recently in the white resistance movement in this country: Waco religious cultists, the Oklahoma bombers, Randy Weaver, and now the Freeman and Unabomber.

Is there anything these folks have in common? Very little. They all complain about the government, but their complaints differ. In one way or another, they have all made a bang but very few bucks. They attract very few followers. All have a fondness for guns or explosives, which means that many of them will spend a great deal of their lives in jail.

We know the bad things these people have done, but what about the good? By their words and acts they have publicized

the corruption that is gnawing at the very roots of civil society. They have exposed the stupidity and the itchy trigger fingers of law enforcement agents. Their courage in fighting the behemoth in Washington has left a lasting impression that some Americans simply won't take it anymore. It's sad. The hearts of many of these people may be in the right place, but their brains are somewhat muddled.

To turn this country around not only bright but charismatic leaders are needed—a hard to find combination. Chances are this country will never experience a bona fide revolution. It never did. What the colonies fought was a war of secession from Britain. What will probably happen here is a racial war that attempts

to hold the U.S. together, a war that will most probably end in the nation's fragmentation.

There is only one thing that binds, or should bind, these Majority dissenters together—race. But Terry Nichols, one of the two Oklahoma bombing suspects, has a mail-order Filipino bride. The congregation of David Koresh in Waco was, to say the least, colorful. The leader of the Indiana militia is a black. Until these ideological missteps are corrected, a white resistance movement is practically meaningless.

Perhaps the most that can be said for the sporadic violence erupting throughout the country is that whites are growing restless.

Suleiman the Magnificent

When we note the many countries that have expelled Jews throughout the ages, the questions of when and why are easily answered. Less frequently asked, but just as important, is another question: Where did those Jews go? During the 15th century, they swarmed to the Ottoman Empire.

Jews, of course, had been around in Anatolia/Turkey/Asia Minor since Byzantine times and even before. They poured out of the Iberian peninsula in the expulsion of 1492 and found refuge in communities throughout the Ottoman Empire, particularly in Palestine and in the capital city of Constantinople. Smaller numbers of Eastern European Chosen also joined the influx. The Sephardic, Spanish-speaking Jews came to be known as *Ladinos* (today, interestingly enough, my Spanish dictionary also defines *Ladino* as someone who is “crafty, sly, shrewd”). In 1520, when Suleiman I succeeded his father as Sultan, Jewish influence was at its peak.

Known in Europe as the Grand Turk, Suleiman reigned over the Ottoman Empire at the time of its maximum expanse and influence. Under the Pax Ottomanica, Suleiman's domain included large chunks of the Middle East, most of North Africa, Eastern Europe and parts of Southern Russia. He had control of most of the coastline along the Mediterranean and Black Seas. In 1529 and again in 1532, he threatened Vienna, causing the renowned Habsburg jaw to drop in dismay. By way of reference, Suleiman's contemporaries included Charles I, Francis I, Henry VIII, Elizabeth I, Leo XX, Columbus, Cortez, Raleigh and Drake.

In Suleiman's time as in our own, Jews were involved in medicine, finance, trade and the textile industry. The wool industry in Salonika, for example, was largely in the hands of about 1,000 Jewish families. Not surprisingly, Jews were favored employees at the Imperial Mint and were appointed to administrative positions at mints throughout the empire.

Under a kind of religious apartheid instituted under Mohammad II, the Conqueror during the 15th century, Ottoman subjects were classified according to which religious group or millet they belonged to. The millets were politically and culturally self-contained. The Chief Rabbi or Priest was also the patriarch of each religious group. “The bended head is not to be stricken off” was the philosophy of the Muslim rulers. As long as Jewish and Christian subjects were willing to submit to Muslim rule, they were granted a fair amount of autonomy. Jews and Christians were considered *zimmis* or Peoples of the Book, whose monotheism was accorded respect because it predated that of the Muslims. By paying a special tax, which ex-

empted them from conscription, Jews and Christians were free to practice their faith. There was little challenge in playing “Guess Who's the Jew?,” since Ottoman cities had separate Muslim, Christian and Jewish quarters. In the upper strata of society, rank and affiliation were reflected by one's attire. In the office of the Grand Vizier, influential Jews were easily spotted by their blue slippers.

Though Suleiman made no use of Chosen soldiers, they proved to be important foot-soldiers in his other endeavors. They were as useful to him as he was to them, as these anecdotes show:

When Suleiman defeated the Hungarians in the Battle of Mohacs in 1526, the keys of the city were handed to him by a Jew named Joseph B. Solomon Ashkenazi.

Guess Who's Jewish (You'll Never Guess),
Len Chetkin, p. 111

Jews became so prominent as diplomats that Christian ambassadors had to solicit Jewish support before being permitted to approach [Suleiman].

The Jewish Connection,
M. Hirsch Goldberg, p. 53

Suleiman the Magnificent liked his Jewish doctor so much that he exempted him and his family from all taxes.

Ibid., p. 178

A particularly telling incident is Suleiman's use of Jewish agents when he besieged the Christian fortress on Rhodes in the summer of 1522. The fort, one of the strongest in the world at that time, was manned by 60,000 defenders. Suleiman's assaults came to nought until Jewish and Muslim agents on the inside enabled Ottoman forces to break in. Particularly ironic is the fate of a Jewish doctor who had long passed as a Christian convert within the fortress walls:

The Jewish spy, Apella Renato, was caught in the act of preparing to fire a bolt from a crossbow towards the enemy lines with a message attached to it, informing them that the garrison was almost at its last gasp. He was dragged before de L'Isle Adam [Grand Master of the Knights of St. John entrusted with defending the fortress] where, after torture, he confessed to having been in the Turkish service for years, and to having passed a number of other messages to them during the course of the siege. He was hanged, drawn and quartered, but not, according to one source, before confessing his sins and dying “a good Christian.”

Suleiman the Magnificent, Scourge of Heaven,
Antony Bridge, p. 67

The defeat of the Knights of St. John was Suleiman's first great military triumph. It is worth noting how the Jews and Muslims worked together against Christian Europe, their common enemy. (The same was true in Spain before

the Christians expelled both minorities in 1492.) In recent times Jews, teamed up with Christians, have exaggerated and promoted the Judeo-Christian connection and have succeeded in portraying Muslims as a common enemy.

In Suleiman's day, as in our own, the notion was prevalent that importing Jews, their financial wizardry and their worldly goods was a surefire way to increase the prosperity of the host nation. It must be noted, however, that such prosperity is short-lived. When Jewish influence reaches its apogee, the long-range prospects for the state become extremely doubtful. The beginning of the end for the Ottoman Empire came while Suleiman was still on the throne.

The Knights of St. John handed Suleiman his worst defeat. After their trouncing at Rhodes, the Knights made the island of Malta their headquarters, which Suleiman's forces attacked in 1565. Though the Knights suffered heavy losses, the Turks lost the battle, along with 20,000 to 30,000 men. Suleiman, who had spent ten years of his reign in the fields with his troops, died the following year.


In 1571, just five years after Suleiman's demise, an Ottoman fleet of 280 ships was annihilated by a naval coalition of Spain, Venice, the Papal States and the Knights of St. John commanded by Don John of Austria at the Battle

of Lepanto. Thirty thousand Turks were killed and Turkish domination in the eastern Mediterranean ended. It was now downsizing time. Like the Roman Empire, the Ottoman Empire took centuries to completely disintegrate, lasting in name only until 1922. Militarism, multiculturalism, centralization of power, corruption and exhaustion of the core population had taken their toll on the Ottoman Empire as surely as on the Roman Empire.

Contemporary comparisons to the breakup of the Soviet Union and the fate of the U.S. are not out of line. America's separation of church and state may, in the long run, be as self-destructive as the much-vaunted religious tolerance of the Ottoman Empire. Our status as "the world's only superpower" could prove to be as ephemeral as that of the Ottoman sultans.

The moral for Instaurationists is that in our fondest dreams, as we fantasize about expelling the Jews to some country on the other side of the world or to some other planet (an intriguing science fiction plot!), we must also consider the vengeful mischief they would still be able to work from a distance. In retrospect the establishment of Israel, a home base where Jews can wreak their revenge on the rest of the world, was a mistake of global proportions.

JUDSON HAMMOND



Anthony Lewis, Warmongering Columnist

If crazy old Abe Rosenthal is the daffiest, weirdest Chosenite hanging around the N.Y. Times, Anthony Lewis has to be the nastiest and most sinister. Lewis's column is noteworthy for its Talmudic hate and venom.

A spokesman for the hard-core terrorist brand of Zionism, Lewis has now appointed himself an arbiter of "Western values." His column, "What Weakness Brings," in the September 11, 1995, edition of the newspaper of record concerned the situation in Bosnia.

Lewis shrieks to anyone who will listen that the peace plan first offered by NATO and the UN "rewards the racist Serbs." He is beside himself with rage that such a treaty would create a Serbian republic inside the artificial state of Bosnia, with the Muslims and Croats getting the rest of the territory. To most folks this would represent a sensible way to end a

miserable war as quickly as possible. Can any sane person believe that continued fighting is better? You can count Lewis among the warmongers who have clearly lost their marbles.

Lewis is totally opposed to nations based, even partially, on ethnicity. He believes France would remain France, even if all Frenchmen moved out. Ditto for Germany, Denmark, Spain, Canada and, of course, the U.S. He is desperately attempting to "establish" the principle that ethnic and racial origins have nothing whatever to do with nationality or citizenship. It is no coincidence that such rubbish spews from the verbally acrobatic mouth of one of the prime wanderers of all time. Lewis understands all too well that if the idea of ethnic and race-based states takes off, he would have no place to go but Israel.

Lewis describes his "vision of a good

society" as one that is multiethnic, tolerant and civilized. I am willing to agree with him on "civilized," extend qualified agreement to "tolerant," depending on the circumstances, but "multiethnic"? Sorry. This idea appears nowhere in traditional Western thought. Obviously we should not kill or drive out visitors or strangers in our midst if they are there with our permission in manageable numbers and behave themselves. But no country is obliged to allow its ethnic foundations to be corrupted or diluted to the vanishing point.

My reply to Lewis is simple. Put your butt where your mouth is. Go off to Bosnia, pick up a rifle and show us what you are made of. Until you do, I will have to assume that your deep concern for "Western principles" is based entirely on tribal self-interest.

N.B.F.

No to Neoconnery

They thought they had it all wrapped up in a neat little package. Bankrolled by men with deep pockets, neoconservatives, who wanted to channel and control the "Conservative Movement," smoothly and skillfully crept into the most weighty bastions of the U.S. rightwing during the Reagan-Bush fairy tale years. They intended to stay there, making sure that "conservatism," whatever that meant, stayed on course and on script. Their script, that is.

The impulse and objectives of the operation were clear. Liberalism was dying of old age and corruption, a gangrenous, syphilitic hag whose toothless come-hither leer drove millions into the ranks of the (supposedly) conservative G.O.P. Those who would become the leading neocons understood all too well that they had to jump the liberal garbage scow before it was too late. Tired old lefties for the most part, the neocons arrived on the political scene acting as if all the Americans who were already there should just move aside and let them take the helm. They would ensure that these crazy hicks and kooks with weird ideas about race, immigration and gun control would be put in their place, that is to say, down on the lower decks scrubbing out the pots and emptying the privies. The neocons would tell us where we wanted to go.

And so for the 12 years of the Reagan-Bush regime it went. True, every so often the Indians would get off the reservation and the good old boys would be allowed to blow off a little steam now and then. But they would be quickly headed off at the pass if they showed signs of drifting into an "America First," "Isolationist" or, God forbid, "racist" position. As for anti-Semitism, well, that's what we have Hate Crime Laws for.

A funny thing happened on the way to the 1996 elections. The American people, the real American people, weren't listening to Bill Bennett, Jack Kemp, the Kristols, George Will, Charles Krauthammer and Ben Wattenberg—the bozos who thought that it was just fine to let 50 million Mexican illegals take our jobs, that affirmative action was a "necessary evil," that "multiculturalism" was just fine, that America isn't a country, but just a bunch of abstract expressions and musty old documents. Majority members listened and told them to shove it.

A substantial body of the neocons have lately been engaged in a desperate effort to keep the Republican Party

and "conservatives" focused on "free trade" and "building democracy." They keep insisting, in increasingly hysterical tones, that economics is the be all and end all of human existence, with government by lawyer-politicians being the only sane, rational way for Americans to rule themselves.

It didn't wash. As the whole Mexican enchilada went sour before our horrified eyes and we saw our billions draining away, as the income of the American working

man imploded, as average American workers began to understand that the domestic enemies are far more dangerous than any Bosnian Serb, huge blocs of citizens cried out, "Cut the crap!"

The coming struggle will not be fought over "enterprise zones" in the ghetto, the capital gains tax or juggling the Social Security and Medicare books. It will be fought over the basic human values of our people. Will we or won't we carry on the culture of our ancestors? Will our civiliza-

tion survive? Will we remain a people or will we be submerged in a tide of strangers and aliens who are either indifferent to us, despise us or hate us?

Money issues will be important, because fiscal sanity is a hallmark of healthy, natural government of, by and for the people. What we will come to understand, however, is that the issues of the deficit, the federal debt, the budget, taxes, free trade and the like are only issues because a totally unnatural and perverted state of affairs has developed in this country.

I spoke to a colleague the other day, a "conservative" member of a minority group. As these people go, he is not too bad. He has a good sense of humor and none of that grim inferiority complex carried like a granite boulder on the shoulders of so many minorityites. He pointed out that the Republican Party and "conservatives" were all wrong. They were "abandoning" good, solid neocon positions for wild, radical populist ideas. Abortion, he averred, is a "non-issue" and should be soft-peddled. Immigration control was a mirage. Immigrants help keep the economy going and growing. The idea that there was a culture war was just silly. No such conflict existed. My minority friend just couldn't understand why there was all this anger and resentment. Actually, he said, the economy is doing quite well. We are just going through a period of "adjustment." This militia thing is nuts. Pat Buchanan is nuts. The Ameri-



The cunningest neocons. Pa Irving Kristol, an ex-Trotskyite, and sonny boy William, the most ferocious Buchanan basher.

can Spectator and the National Review have fallen into the hands of the Christian Right. And so on.

I almost opened my mouth, but decided against it. It would have been a futile mouthing.

The neocons really are out of touch. It's easy to comprehend why they are not, most of them, echt Americans. Having spent nine-tenths of their lives on Manhattan Island, they haven't a clue as to what is brewing in the heartland, in the hearts and minds of millions of fed-up, disgusted and enraged Majority members.




Listen up, neocons. America is not an abstraction to us. It is a country, made up of people linked together by blood and history. We know who is and who is not an American. You may make Israel your priority, your second

(or first) homeland, but this is *our* land and we will not let it go. We will not be "led" by the likes of you. Don't think that you can pull another stunt like you did with the Reagan-Bush crowd. Those days are over. We won't be told what subjects are "open to discussion" and what are not. We aren't interested in making "deals." We aren't going to dicker, haggle and bargain with our mortal enemies. We have no intention of taking half a loaf, playing both ends against the middle or settling for second place. We will say and do what we damn well please, whether it suits you or not. If you honestly want to repent, get your minds right and move over to our side of the street. Meanwhile we'll be watching. Blood tells.

N.B. FORREST

SNIGGERS

by Hookerby Crook



The Challenge

Isn't it time we stopped using the term "Judeo-Christianity"? That linkage never made sense, either to Jews or to genuine Christians. It's as much an oxymoron as "military intelligence", because the two faiths it couples together are virtual opposites. Then why have they been joined for so long? Because the shapers of the New Testament sought to legitimize it by founding it on the Old.

But Jesus was his own man! His faith could very well stand on its own as a new beginning, a fresh "revelation". Why should a religion founded in his name have to drag behind it the baggage of one so radically different? He had to present himself as of the House of David and akin to the older prophets because the Jews would never have listened to him had he not identified himself with their tradition. But how different from that tradition was his message of love and forgiveness! How different his God, a loving Father of all humanity, from the vengeful and bloodthirsty tribal god of Moses! And Jesus differs so much in character from the often murderously intolerant prophets of Israel that many people have wondered whether he could have been Jewish at all. His only vital connection to Judaism is that he tried to reform it. Under the aristocratic priesthood of the Sadducees, Judaism had become ossified, enslaved to the letter of the law. And the Sadducees were "collaborators", even their Chief Priest being appointed by the Romans. In denouncing their corruption and rigidity, Jesus naturally became a thorn in their flesh. Even worse, he preached that "the Kingdom of Heaven is within you", which struck at the root of their authority. For if an individual could find God for himself, who needed them?

Worse yet, who needed Moses? In proclaiming a God which Moses would never have recognized, wasn't Jesus rejecting Mosaic theology also? Wasn't he reaching back past the Mosaic aberration to that passionate belief in an afterlife which had fired the wondrous achievements of the Egyptian Old Kingdom? We need only compare the two men to realize how oxymoronic "Judeo-Christianity" is. When Moses repeatedly tells his followers that Yahweh says they're to go kill all those other people and take their land and "nothing that breathes shall be left alive" — isn't he expressing only the genocidal impulse of many another primitive tribe? When asked what his captains should do with the little boys of the defeated Midianites, he says in effect, "Kill'em all!" Was this a truly "enlightened" man? And if the Hebrews had been slaves in Egypt, they had now exchanged one slavery for another, because the regime imposed by Moses was truly totalitarian. Every aspect of life was dictated by the priesthood — nothing heard then about "a Kingdom of Heaven within you". Can we imagine Jesus approving a theocracy so ruthless that a

man should be put to death merely for refusing to listen to a priest?

Nor could he have approved Moses's insistence that the Israelites were a "chosen" people divinely licensed to dispose of all others as they saw fit. Ironically, that myth (since become the longest-running hoax in History) was the prophet's only major innovation. Breasted's The Dawn of Conscience shows that most of what Judaism claims to have derived from some "divine revelation" exclusive to itself was in fact borrowed from the high culture of Egypt, in which Moses had been schooled. Nor could he claim to have introduced the first true monotheism, since merely tribal gods don't merit the big G. But is monotheism such a great thing, seeing how much monopolistic intolerance it has spawned since Pharaoh Akhnaten first tried to enforce it? Here we have to admit that Christianity itself has been the worst offender. Its record in the suppression of competing faiths has been appalling. The Church of the Inquisition had moved so far from its Founder that one might suspect it of having been taken over by the Devil during the Dark Ages!

YET, isn't it also true that most social advances since that time have been due to Christian reformers? That the glories of Christian art, music, and literature remain unsurpassed? Hasn't whatever civilization the world enjoys today (granting its Graeco-Roman roots) been created mostly under Christian influence? Neither Judaism nor any other faith had much to do with it. From the Renaissance to the Industrial Revolution and until about 1900 — when secular Jewish contributions began having great impact — the civilization now becoming global has been overwhelmingly the creation of white Europeans of Christian belief. (If the fabled "Wisdom of the East" had anything better to offer, why are those peoples now copying our ways as fast as they can?) And isn't it ironic that our dominant Anglo-Christian ethos is now being attacked on all sides by its beneficiaries — often by those coming from countries where the only effective law is still the law of the jungle? The very principles we gave them are being turned against us by alleged "victims" of our society, some even seeking to force their views on us by denying us that freedom of expression which is basic to any true democracy.

Some of those people will never admit that Christianity was a significant advance on Judaism. "Moses lived in more primitive times", they will say, "doing what he must to hold his people together! And hasn't Judaism evolved since then?" Indeed it has, yielding a great literature. But the old dynamic still operates! Jewish children are still taught to identify with it. Anyone doubting whether the

Mosaic tradition is racist need only recall the decades-long treatment of the Palestinian Arabs as Untermenschen, their appeals for a measure of autonomy met with a policy of "might, force and beatings." Who cannot see the genocidal cast of that teaching in the cold-blooded slaughter of over 800 innocents in the refugee camps of Beirut during Begin's invasion of Lebanon? And when Dr. Goldstein murdered 29 Arabs at prayer in Hebron, many young Israelis hailed him as a hero. Asked to explain this, Cabinet Minister Shunamit Aloni said it was because they had "emerged from a system of religious belief which teaches that we are a supreme People with the right to kill Arabs and take their property." The rabbi at Goldstein's funeral said, "Five thousand Arabs are not worth a Jew's fingernail." It took the assassination of Prime Minister Rabin to make another rabbi admit, "We are guilty of educating an entire generation in primitive thought." That thought has been opposed for many years by the courageous Dr. Israel Shahak of Jerusalem's Hebrew University, who suspects that most of his fellow-Jews still consider the rest of us to be somewhat less than human. Yet Jewish spokesmen in the West do not hesitate to accuse others of racism. And to any criticism of this "double standard" they will react with cries of "anti-Semitism!" as automatically as Pavlov's dog reacted to the sound of the bell. Have those people become so steeped in their own propaganda that they cannot see how hypocritical they are?

Apart from this tribalism, the greatest difference between Mosaic and Christian teaching is the insistence of Jesus on the reality of another world and a life hereafter. Despite his Egyptian upbringing, Moses is virtually silent on this. Granted, all we "know" of either man is from Scripture. We cannot tell what they may have taught privately to their initiates. Yet publicly to ignore the hereafter, as Moses did, is to ignore man's "spiritual nature" altogether. His god then becomes a kind of bullying overseer concerned only with the conduct of his subjects in this world, as though they had no destiny beyond it.

This focus on worldly success, coupled with obsessive concern for the physical details of ritual, typifies the teaching of Moses. The welfare of his Israelites depended entirely on their obedience to the wrathful god who had "bought" them by bringing them out of Egypt. Obey him, and they should live on the fat of the land, enslaving all others; disobey, and others would enslave them. Would it be unfair to summarize by saying that while both men were taken up to a mountaintop and offered dominion over the world, Moses took the deal and Jesus turned it down? Should we then simply-mindedly label one faith as "bad" and the other "good"?

NOT so fast! There is another side to all of this, another voice to be heard. A spokesman for Judaism might very well say that when two faiths conflict, they must appeal their difference to a higher court. "We appeal to the Law of Nature!" he might say. "And its first commandment is to survive. That we have certainly done. 'Tribal'

though our faith may be, it has kept us together through nineteen centuries of the Diaspora and countless persecutions. Even after Hitler's Holocaust, we are stronger than ever before. Our accomplishments in science and the arts, our strength in the media and international finance, are out of all proportion to our numbers. What other 'tribe' can claim as much? And nobody gave it to us! By study and craft and a strict self-reliance we have worked hard for our success; you will not find our people on welfare. Could we have done all this without the faith which binds us in the world's oldest collective? Look how the State of Israel is restored! And in the capital of the world's most powerful country, we are running President Clinton's court even more than we ran Stalin's!"

"It would be absurd," he might go on, "to maintain that Judaism has no spiritual side. But why should we not seek success in this world when we've no more evidence of another than the Old Kingdom had? People hoping for a reward 'hereafter' have simply taken their eye off the ball! Some have even blamed us for inventing Christianity; they say that by persuading you to look to another world while scooping up the goodies in this one, we 'psyched you out'. That's obviously false. No prominent Jew since Paul has ever promoted Christianity. Haven't we rather seen your faith as the enemy of ours? And hasn't it been your enemy also? By cherishing foolish hopes of Heaven and fears of Hell — with all that sexual repression — haven't you done great damage to yourselves? The therapists' notebooks are full of it! And isn't your faith declining everywhere? While nobody else dares attack ours, haven't Christian scribes been meekly amending your New Testament to remove any reference offensive to us? We reject Judeo-Christianity just as you do, but on opposite grounds! Jesus to us was merely an apostate, an errant rabbinical student whose charismatic leadership posed a threat to our faith. Its survival mattered more than his."

"Set your complaints in this light," he continues, "and see how empty they are! You imply that we lack 'principle'? A principle has value only as it serves to advance our interest — or to block another's. Thus we may argue for it on one occasion and against on another, as any good lawyer should. When we were powerless, we embraced Communism as a means to empowerment, but it would be foolish to think we have any time for it now. (Though we may use it for our own ends, as when we used the Young Spartacists to help us trash that California YMCA where David Irving spoke.) The same logic applies to your vaunted 'freedom of speech'. Naturally we demand it for ourselves, but why allow it to people speaking against us? And why shouldn't we use the handy label of 'anti-Semitism' to deter them? Whether or not it is 'fair' — that foolish Anglo Saxonism! — it works. To quote that sagacious goy, Dr. Sam Johnson, 'Clear your mind of cant, Sir!' Then you will see the Law of Nature stand supreme. The people of Melos, you'll remember, saw it too late. When the Athenians demanded they surrender their little island to the Empire, they appealed to 'principle' and 'the gods'

—all in vain! The Athenians answered, 'Our opinion of the gods and our knowledge of men lead us to conclude that it is a general and necessary law of nature to rule whatever one can.' That's it, exactly! That is the lesson we Jews have learned the hard way — not to seek first the Kingdom of Heaven, but power. And we are winning it. Having survived everything your Christian 'love' has thrown at us, from the Templars burning our people in Jerusalem to Hitler burning them in Auschwitz, we are resolved never to be vulnerable again. And that means being in charge."

"If you do not relish a subordinate position," he concludes, "you must fight us with our own weapons — not the cowardly tactics of spraying our synagogues and top-

pling our tombstones! Jealousy will get you nowhere. You must compete with us. And even if you should succeed in beating us at our own game, then in a sense we shall have won anyway — for we shall have made you become like us!"

GRANTED, a real spokesman for Judaism would never be as frank as ours has been. But who will deny that his statement reflects reality? Here is the "challenge" of our title. How should Christians answer it? Not being one of them, this writer cannot say. But the challenge is clearly issued to all Gentiles. And those of us not willing to live under any "master-race" had better sit up and take notice.

PETER J. LORDEN

Hey, Hey, Ho, Ho, Plutocrats Have Got to Go!

I have always strongly opposed allowing any sort of "class warfare" to develop in the Majority movement. We are racist nationalists, not Marxist internationalists. We reject not only the fruits of Marxism but its seeds. We believe that race, blood and genes will determine the future of mankind, not money, production, interest and labor. Not that we deny the importance of any of these four factors. We recognize that while man does not live by bread alone, he'd get pretty hungry without it. We support sound, tried and tested, common sense economic and financial policies that are based upon the needs of real people of real flesh and blood, rather than on abstract ideas or the balance sheets of a corporation or investment bank. We are not opposed, in principle, to the idea that there are wealthy folks and poor folks. It is how they got or failed to get their money and how they use it or don't use it that interest us. Simply put, we are people people, not money people.

As the Majority movement continues to grow we will eventually have to face the problem of what to do about the wealthy. I am not talking about your hometown businessman who made a few million on real estate or the occasional guy who strikes it rich with a good restaurant or an invention. I am talking about the really wealthy, the men and women who control significant percentages of the national or regional economies, people who affect the lives of tens of thousands, maybe millions, with their personal decisions. These are the people who can buy and sell corporations, newspapers, television channels or senators—the people who currently run this country.

We have in America the so-called "Old Money" crowd, largely Majority by extraction, though now heavily diluted with Jewish blood. We also have a large number of rich people who cannot be neatly pigeonholed into one class or ethnic group.

What is to be done with these people and their money? Let us make it clear from the start that no Majority government will allow any white assault on other whites

simply because the latter are rich. Among our potential supporters are a certain number of those shifty, greasy types, often the loudest-mouthed in our crowd, who claim to have their eyes fixed firmly on their racial duty but who really have them fixed on the main chance. They are true *Lumpen* and must be kept sternly in line by responsible and duty-driven leaders.

If we will not permit a sacking of Scarsdale and Beverly Hills, what then should we do? The answer is that we will judge the wealthy like we will judge everybody else. A wealthy man or woman who has behaved in a racially responsible manner will be treated like any other Majority member. That is to say, his life, liberty and property will be as absolutely guaranteed as any government can guarantee it.

What about those who have not behaved in a racially loyal and responsible manner? Unfortunately this group comprises most of the very wealthy in this country, including all but a tiny percentage of the wealthy Jews. Like all other fools they will quickly be parted from their money. No Majority government can allow race traitors, much less Jews, to retain control of the means to oppose our reordering of American society.

Whether the separation of the disloyal wealthy from their ill-gotten gains comes about through confiscation, special taxes, forced loans or other means, it should only be done after their hostile actions against the Majority have been solidly confirmed. That is to say, it should be proved beyond a doubt that they have chosen to use their wealth in a racially and socially irresponsible manner.

If, for example, you have been a large donor to the Simon Wiesenthal Center or the ADL, if you are a major stockholder in the Washington Post, don't plan on spending much time on the French Riviera in the years to come. On the other hand, if you are a billionaire who never really helped us out, but did spend your money aiding crippled children, well, we can hardly hold that against you.

It goes without saying that a Majority government will

not allow certain critical sectors to be in untrustworthy private hands. Television, radio, motion pictures, record companies and other means of mass communication should be maintained as private businesses, but the owners will change with very few exceptions.

A complete overhaul of the national banking system, including the Federal Reserve will be in order. This does not mean that we would impose some funny money scheme or pie-in-the-sky, goofy solution to our financial problems. We have no intention of trying to finance our dreams on gold distilled from sea water. Further, we must honor all legal debts contracted by the U.S. government. There will be no further debt, but we will pay what we owe to the last cent. It will be good, very good, for Majority members to learn through bitter experience what dec-

ades of rule by profligate lawyer-politicians has brought them.

There will be bleating and knavery by the rich and their minions who will try to avoid paying for their political shortsightedness and folly. They will try to bribe their way into our ranks, as they manufacture histories of their conduct in the great struggle.

We will smile serenely as we attach all their property, real, personal and otherwise, and send them packing. No more will the power of the purse rule our land. There will still be rich men and poor men, but the rich will be patriots or they will not be rich anymore. The poor will at least live in dignity and with hope for the future.

N.B. FORREST

Unusual Movie

Occasionally a major movie is released that somehow slips by the Political Correctness censors in the land of fruits and nuts. The film I'm talking about is *Just Cause*, starring and produced by Sean Connery, who plays the role of a dogooder Harvard law professor. (Even his liberal, guilt-ridden wife chides him for his lack of "real world" perspective.) Following a debate on capital punishment, during which he claims the death penalty is a racist tool, the professor, his wife and their young daughter fly to Florida to rescue an innocent black from Death Row. The black, who had been attending col-

lege on an affirmative action scholarship, had been sentenced to death for kidnapping, raping, sodomizing, repeatedly stabbing and murdering an 11-year-old white girl in the "cracker"-dominated Everglades. In the face of harassment and threats by the local "redneck" population, the professor succeeds in producing evidence indicating the girl was actually victimized by a deranged, blue-eyed, blond-haired, religious zealot, already on Death Row for a series of sex murders.

After the black is released, however, the professor learns that he was in fact guilty. On the day of his release he mur-

dered four additional whites. The black then kidnaps the professor's young daughter and wife and drives them to the swamps, where he intends to rape and murder them. Connery, with the help of an obligatory "good" black cop, rescues his family at the last minute and stabs the black to death.

Just Cause, now available on video, is unusual in that it deviates from today's left-liberal Diktat that all blacks accused of victimizing whites are innocent and it is the duty of good white liberals to rescue them from evil Southern justice.

EDWARD KERLING

Child Watches Mother Killed

I recently returned quite depressed from a week-long working trip to Cleveland. The flight wasn't bumpy; my hotel accommodations were adequate, the work was not terribly taxing. True the weather was awful most of the time, but that did not bother me. My somber mood stemmed from a heinous crime which took place in the city on my last night there.

The scenario was all too common. A woman was robbed and shot to death while withdrawing money from a bank automatic teller machine. When these sketchy details were first aired on the local radio news, I said to my co-worker, "I'll bet you \$20 that the victim is white and the perpetrators black." He knew bet-

ter than to take me up on the bet.

Sure enough, as more details became known, the victim was indeed a beautiful young white woman, and her killers (filmed by a video camera outside the bank) were garden-variety Negroes. Worst, the woman's four-year-old daughter, sitting in the car, witnessed the tragedy. Her mother was in the process of withdrawing some money to treat daughter to dinner at McDonald's. For that simple act her life was snuffed out by two sub-humans. The killers were caught the next morning, thanks to details gleaned from the outside video camera, but this was hardly any consolation.

The "ethnic cleansing" of whites by

nonwhites in America continues unabated. The late William Gayley Simpson, in one of his final and prophetic statements on the race issue, said very simply, "Separate or die." Otherwise, he intimated, racial civil war was probably inevitable.

It is doubtful if Negroes will fare well in this conflict. The superior intelligence and firepower possessed by whites may result in blacks being eliminated in industrial quantities. In a perverse sort of way, when the conflict does occur, I hope it will be in my lifetime. I will never forget the fate of that young mother in Cleveland.

800

Trials and Tribulations of an Ethnostate

Introduction

Imagine a country safe enough for little children to play untended in inner-city parks; a country where a centuries-old folk tradition is still a vibrant living culture; a country where miles of undeveloped sandy beaches meet pine forests inhabited by deer, moose and bear.

Now imagine a country where organized crime and government corruption are endemic; a country where more people are dying than being born; a country where there are constant health warnings against drinking tap water and swimming in the sea.

Imagine Latvia.

Latvia of today is full of contradictions and contrasts as might be expected of a society in rapid transition. Freed by the collapse of the Soviet Union in 1991, most observers would say the country is struggling to reassert itself as a Western nation state in the classic 19th-century mode. In my opinion the Baltic republics may become the 21st-century's first ethnostates.

In August 1993, some 80 years after my grandfather left for America, I arrived in Riga, the capital of Latvia, to teach English in a suburban secondary school. What brought me to Latvia, besides an interest in the land of some of my ancestors (my other three grandparents were born in Sweden), was an acute shortage of English language teachers. During the years of occupation Russian was the second language of Latvians and most of them have a working knowledge of that tongue. The Soviets didn't encourage the study of English and, with few opportunities to study or travel in the West, there was little motivation to learn English. With the reestablishment of independence everything changed. Western government officials, aid workers and businessmen have streamed into the Baltics, and the West has become just a ferry ride or short flight away. Learning English, the lingua franca of the West, has become a mania. The demand for English language teachers has gone up just as the available supply has gone down. Public schoolteachers in Latvia receive about \$150 a month, while a bilingual secretary can make three times that much. So money has lured many Latvian English language teachers out of the classrooms. The departing natives have been replaced to some extent by idealistic or adventuresome foreigners. There are about 40 Peace Corps volunteers teaching in Latvia, as well as many other Westerners who have been sent by private groups or who have come on their own.

Brief History

With the exception of the Balkans, there is no region in Europe where geography, history and ethnicity are of more immediate importance than in the Baltic states of Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania. Latvia, with a territory and population equal to West Virginia, is located on the eastern shore of the Baltic Sea. Its generally flat to gently rolling landscape is part of the North European Plain. The East Baltic is the crossroads of Northern Europe, a meeting place of northern peoples. Teutons, Slavs, Norse and Finns have at various times laid claim to what is now Latvia, but it has been the Balts who have occupied the land for the last 3,000 years. The origins of the Balts are rather obscure as is the case with many peoples. They probably came from that great spawning ground of nations, the eastern European steppes. Migrating northwest, they at one time occupied much of what is now Poland, Belarus and western Russia, as well as Lithuania

and Latvia. They absorbed, mingled with or displaced the Finno-Ugrian peoples already settled along the eastern Baltic. Although the Balts never enjoyed political unity, they were able to contain the Slavic expansion which by 500 A.D. had claimed Belarus. Some Latvians consider Belarussians to be Slavized Balts. Later incursions by Danes and Swedes in Courland or Kurzeme (western Latvia) were also repulsed.

Foreign domination came in the 13th century with a crusade by German knights who conquered, Christianized and colonized Prussia and parts of what is now Latvia and Estonia. (The Prussians were originally a Baltic people who were Germanized. Their language became extinct in the 17th century.) For the next 600 years the German nobility and burghers were able to exert political, economic and cultural control, at least on the local level. Latvians were eventually relegated to the level of serfs, their language the dialect of peasants. In the 16th century Latvia became part of the Polish-Lithuanian Kingdom. In the 17th century it was conquered by Sweden. In the 18th century Peter the Great conquered most of it for the Russian Empire.

In the second half of the 19th century, as the czars were trying to Russify the Baltic countries, Latvia began a national awakening. The collapse of the Romanov and Hohenzollern Empires at the end of WWI gave Latvia a chance to gain its sovereignty. In 1918-40 it was an independent republic. The country was occupied by the Red Army in 1940, the German army in 1941 and the Red Army again in 1944-45. From 1945-91 it was the Latvian Soviet Socialist Republic. During and after the war hundreds of thousands of Latvians were killed, deported to the interior of the U.S.S.R. or fled to the West. It is estimated that the country lost 25% of its population between 1940-45. These people were replaced by hundreds of thousands of Russians and other Soviet citizens in a deliberate policy of destroying Latvian identity. By 1989 only 52% of the population was ethnic Latvian. The ideological conflict between communism and nationalism, between left and right, has been and continues to be, to a large extent, an ethnic conflict between Slav and Balt. This is one reason Latvia has not "turned left" as many former Communist countries have done in the last few years.

Current Conditions

The former Soviet republics have been described as the "Wild East" or as lawless frontier societies. There is some truth to this, but we know that the Wild West was a lot more orderly than L.A. or D.C. is today. Crime has increased greatly in Baltic cities in the last several years. Interestingly, they have a different sort of crime than American cities have because they have a different sort of criminal. Although auto theft and petty larceny is common, most Latvian crime is the organized variety—extortion, bribery, smuggling—not the violent street crime that Americans fear.

I wish every urban American could see how public spaces are utilized in Latvia. In nice weather the Vermales Gardens in the center of Riga is filled with people: young mothers pushing baby carriages, groups of schoolchildren playing, old people sitting and chatting or playing chess. At night couples stroll hand-in-hand or smooch on park benches. Try doing that in Lafayette Park. The bums, beggars and muggers who have taken over Washington's parks are absent. Drunks and beggars are to be

seen on Riga's streets, but there seems to be an unwritten law that keeps them out of the parks. I think Americans are finally realizing how circumscribed by crime their lives have become. No need to study comparative crime statistics, just seeing how people move about freely tells the story. For almost two years I rode the commuter trains from Riga to Jurmala where I taught evening classes at a private school. I would often see ten-year-olds with blonde braids carrying violin cases or tennis rackets to their homes alone at night from music or sports lessons. In four years of riding the Washington metro I never remember seeing a young child riding alone at any hour.

In many ways a Westerner may feel that he has entered a time warp when arriving in Latvia. Contemporary feminism is still largely absent. There are far fewer women drivers on the roads than men, though many physicians are women and they still make house calls. Cashiers still use abacuses. The grass in front of my school was cut with a scythe. Fur is still very much in fashion. Public hygiene is often of 19th-century standards. Many food stores have no refrigeration, with everything displayed in bulk out in the open. When you see ragamuffins begging on the streets (only in Riga), you're reminded of Dickens' London.

Latvians are a nationalistic, conservative peasant people, but there is little of the puritanism characteristic of American conservatism. Latvia might be described as Appalachia with a continental flair. Europeans, Latvians included, tend to have a more relaxed attitude toward nudity. On the more rural public beaches many young children and even some not-so-young children swim naked. On Jurmala beaches topless young women don't raise an eyebrow. The largest newspaper, *Vakara Zinas* (Evening News), a tabloid printed on yellow paper, has a daily *vakara meitene* (evening girl) who poses in various stages of undress. The girls do this for free or "just for fun" as one of my students explained. The readers then vote for their favorite girl of the month and the winner receives a small prize.

Europeans, especially Latvians, also have a more casual attitude towards alcohol. Public drinking is endemic. Beer is sold almost everywhere and to anyone. Beer is the national drink in Latvia and many people don't even consider it an alcoholic beverage, though it is stronger than American brew. I remember waiting for the train in Jurmala one fine spring day along with a few dozen other people when two boys no older than twelve appeared swigging half-liters of beer. My self-righteous, civic-minded American persona yelled silently, "Hey, look at those young boys drinking beer in public. Somebody do something!" The crowd of Latvians and Russians, of all ages and both sexes, were totally oblivious to the sight. My students informed me that, yes, there are laws against drinking in public and selling alcohol to minors, but they are simply not enforced. Even the little store in the school hostel sold beer to anyone with 25 centimes. School dances often featured a cash bar.

Latvians consider themselves Westerners who were kidnapped by the East. They also consider themselves Northern Europeans, sometimes even describing themselves as Nordic. They say they have a Nordic temperament. Physically they are as tall and lean as Nordics and almost as blond. The biggest differences are their round faces and upturned pug noses which, to my mind, only adds to the beauty of the young women and children. Betty Boop facial features are common among the young women, while the children have the round-headed "Peanuts" look. An American girl I met in Riga asked me if I thought Latvian girls were pretty. I said I did. "Yes," she agreed with a touch of envy, "they all have such little noses."

The Zolitude district near where I lived on the outskirts of Riga consists mainly of a huge mid-rise housing project built during the Brezhnev era. Such projects have been rightly criti-

cized for shoddy workmanship, lack of upkeep and poor aesthetics. However, I'd rather be sentenced to ten years in a Brezhnev project than ten minutes in the concrete jungle of an urban American apartment house. In fact, so many attractive young women got on and off the commuter train at the Zolitude station that some of the local English-speakers referred to them as "Zolitude cuties."

Latvia may have the prettiest children in the world, but not enough of them. Abortions have outnumbered live births for the last several years. The fact is, Latvia is in the midst of a demographic and health crisis. More people are dying than being born. Alcoholism and suicides are up; birthrates and life expectancy are down. Life expectancy for males in Latvia is 64 years. On the other side of the Baltic in Sweden the figure is 75 years. One MP claims that Latvia has the lowest procreation rate and the highest divorce rate in the world. Many see the problems of abortion, low fertility and high divorce as related. During the Soviet times abortion became the most common method of birth control and remains so today. Multiple abortions can lead to infertility; 25% of Latvian couples are infertile. The divorce rate is now approaching 50%.

This birth dearth is a problem common to most of Eastern Europe. Only in Albania does the fertility rate exceed replacement level. The new Central Asian republics such as Tajikistan, Uzbekistan and Turkmenistan will double their populations within the next generation. Despite the fact that its population is over 99% European, Latvia is in a vulnerable demographic position. It is on the direct smuggling route for illegal aliens heading from Central and South Asia to Northern Europe. At present, low living standards keep most aliens moving farther north or west to Scandinavia. However, with their aging population and low birthrate, an economic turnaround in a few years could produce a real labor shortage in the Baltic states. With market forces of modern capitalism being as they are, what will stop the Asian immigration then?

Latvians and Russians

For centuries during the pre-Christian era, Baltic tribes fought Slavic tribes pushing in from the east. In the 18th century the Russian conquest of what is now Latvia began with Peter's victory over Sweden's Charles XII in the Northern War and was completed with the Third Partition of Poland in 1795. In the second half of the 19th century the czars tried to Russify the Baltic provinces, with limited success, by imposing their language and religion on the inhabitants.

In the 20th century the commissars used much harsher and more pervasive Russification methods. Under Stalin, mass murder and forced displacement of entire peoples became integral components in the Sovietization of non-Russian peoples. Russian imperialism, to the nation's shame and detriment, became the vehicle of communism. As one commentator has observed, "The Russian nation as a whole agreed to surrender its national culture to that of the Soviet Union" in order to insure the continuity of the Russian empire. It's interesting to note that the Germans are required to bear eternal guilt for embracing National Socialism, yet the fact that the Russians permitted themselves to be co-opted by Stalinism is simply historical water over the dam. Unfortunately, Russia and the West will be paying for Russia's mistakes for generations to come. The ecological, cultural and human destruction brought about by communism is incalculable. The Soviet system reinforced the most negative aspects of Russian culture. Communism's "successes" were in destroying bourgeois manners, morality, spirituality and personal responsibility.

The Russians have an unenviable reputation in most of Eastern Europe. The Balts, Poles, Hungarians, Czechs and Finns have all fought against Russian domination just in this century and

they all became alarmed after the electoral success of Vladimir Zhirinovskiy. Even the seemingly secure Swedes catch a chill when Russia sneezes.

Today there are approximately 700,000 Russian speakers in Latvia, of which about 500,000 are ethnic Russians. Russian-speakers are in the majority in the six largest cities, while the vast majority of rural folk are Latvian. This is a similar pattern worldwide where minorities are relatively recent arrivals.

I made only one trip to Russia proper, but the Riga neighborhood where I lived was mainly Russian-speaking. One of the games I used to play while riding the commuter train was to guess the nationality of my fellow passengers. I would inconspicuously study the appearance of riders who were not reading or speaking, guess their nationality, then wait for them to pull out a newspaper or start a conversation. Some Latvians claim they can tell a Russian by his or her style of dress (flashier clothes, more makeup on the women) as well as by physical appearance.

scrubbed Germanic look. One day, as she and her father alighted from a trolley, her father tossed their spent ticket stubs on the sidewalk. A policeman immediately stalked up to them and threatened to arrest her father for littering. Her father quickly picked up the tickets and apologized, saying he was just a simple country fellow who didn't know any better. In the 30s one just didn't litter in Riga. Today litter is ubiquitous.

Except for a few radical nationalists, Latvians have resigned themselves to a large Russian minority for the foreseeable future. After all, there has been a Russian minority in Latvia for centuries. Many ethnic Russians are citizens, but postwar immigrants and their descendants must go through a naturalization process which requires passing a Latvian language test.

Most Latvians are glad they are bilingual and many enjoy hearing and speaking Russian at times, but they insist that Latvian Russians repay the compliment by being able to speak Latvian. Most cannot. Many Russian Latvians stubbornly refuse to let



Latvian schoolchildren

I guessed right more times than I was wrong. The fact is, ethnic Russians are a very mixed nationality. To the basic East Slavic strain has been added Finnic, Baltic, Greek, Turkic and Mongol elements. Of course, Russian speakers may not be ethnic Russians at all. In Riga I saw ordinary Russian-speakers (not diplomats or foreign businessmen) who would have looked right at home in Oslo, Ankara or Beijing.

Though warm and generous to friends, Russians are often rude to strangers and coarse in their public behavior. Few Russians have read Amy Vanderbilt. Spitting, shoving and queue-cutting are a matter of course for many. Latvians say Russians attack a line from any direction but the rear. The average Russian seems to have little aesthetic sense. The neat-as-a-pin farms and cities of Western Europe are alien to the Slavic nature which favors more cluttered, lived-in surroundings. Older Latvians blame the Russians for the dirt and dereliction of Riga. One elderly Latvian who grew up in a small village told me of visiting Riga with her father in the early 30s. Back then the capital still had a well-

even a single word of Latvian pass their lips. I got to know one Russian businessman, Yuri, who rented office space in our hostel. He spoke passable English and good German, but absolutely refused to speak any Latvian. I don't speak Russian and at times when Yuri didn't understand my English I would try the little Latvian I know. Sometimes I could see a flicker of comprehension at my simplified Latvian, but he would never acknowledge any understanding of the language himself.

Yuri's attitude is common but far from universal. A majority of school-age Russian Latvians are making an effort to learn the national language. They must do so if they have any hope of being naturalized. Bilingualism will go a long way towards defusing ethnic tensions in the Baltics.

The language situation can become quite variegated in a crossroads region such this. I have in mind a small Jurmala cafe I would stop at for an afternoon pick-me-up between my day and evening jobs. There was nothing very special about this coffee shop except that American pop music was almost always being

played. The menu and signs were in Latvian (by law) and the girl behind the counter spoke only Russian.

In addition to a working knowledge of Latvian, Latvian Russians wishing to become citizens must swear allegiance to the Latvian state. The fact is that many Russian Latvians have never supported an independent Latvia in either elections or public opinion polls. They see the Latvian Republic as a temporary phenomenon to be waited out. Latvians, on the other hand, hope that those non-citizens who refuse to learn the language and accept the legitimacy of the state can be forced or persuaded to leave while the remainder can be integrated, if not assimilated, into Latvian society.

Latvians and the Germans

During the 13th century, German knights conquered most of what is now Latvia. One can still see their stone castles, in various states of repair in most Latvian towns. The Latvian word for town, *pilsate*, means castle site. When one looks at these castles, set on hilltops, constructed on large boulder foundations so far from their homeland, one is impressed by the will-to-power embodied by the German *Drang nach Osten*. When I mentioned this thought to a Latvian friend he replied that building the castles wasn't as difficult for the Germans as it was for the Latvian slaves who lugged the boulders up the hillsides.

Despite centuries of suppression by the Baltic barons, the relationship between the Latvians and Germans has always been more complicated than that of master and servant. The division between the Latvians and Baltic Germans was socioeconomic and linguistic, not racial or religious. Until the 19th century, Germans lived in towns, Latvians in the countryside. Germans were nobles or burghers; Latvians were peasants. From the beginning, upwardly mobile Latvians were assimilated into the German community; downwardly mobile Germans assimilated into the Latvian community. The percentage of "Germans" in Latvia began a rapid decline in the 19th century, as ambitious Latvians were no longer required to assume a German identity to enter the bourgeoisie. At the beginning of the 18th century Riga had a majority German population. By the early 20th century the city was only 20% German.

Since their high-water mark in the 18th century, there has been a massive retreat of Germans from all over Eastern Europe—from the Baltics, the Volga, Bohemia and Transylvania—eastern Germans have disappeared. Our guide for a Prague tour, who looked old enough to speak from personal experience, told us the city was 75% German-speaking before WWI. The long-term withdrawal of the German presence was greatly accelerated by their catastrophic defeat in 1945. Though often heavy-handed, the Germans did play a civilizing role in the East.

While Baltic Germans are all but extinct, their legacy is still seen in the Lutheran religion, Gothic architecture and in elements of the Latvian language. Most Latvians concede that their conquest by the Germans, hardly a best-case scenario, at least assured that they would be part of the West. An alternative would have been the Cyrillic alphabet, the Orthodox religion and Slavization—the fate of their Belarus cousins. Anti-German feeling in Latvia probably peaked during the first two decades of the 20th century. Today, with Latvia fervently embracing Europe, Balts are more favorably disposed to Germans than most other Europeans.

Latvians remember that they had few friends and fewer allies as they tried to steer a middle course between Nazi Germany and Soviet Russia during the 1930s. This go-it-alone policy proved untenable and in June 1940 Stalin invaded the Baltics. After a year of mass terror Hitler's troops arrived. The Occupation Museum in Riga displays a letter, dated July, 1941, from a

German officer writing home that states that nowhere in Europe has the Wehrmacht received such a friendly reception as in Riga. After enduring 13 months of Soviet arrests, torture, killings and deportations the Latvians were indeed happy to see the Germans. The Nazi occupiers gave Latvia a measure of autonomy, but their post-war plans were never finalized. Himmler considered the Balts part of the Germanic family and strongly supported the idea of a Latvian Waffen SS division. In early 1943, with manpower shortages bought on by the defeat at Stalingrad, Hitler okayed the establishment of the Latvian Legion. The Latvians, who hadn't fired a shot in 1940 and regretted it ever since, now took advantage of the opportunity to fight against the Bolsheviks; 146,000 Latvian men were mobilized taking 60,000 casualties. Knowing the fate of their nation if the Communists returned, the Legion fought to the bitter end. The "Kurezme kettle," the western quarter of the country, held out against the Red Army until May 10, 1945, two days after the general capitulation of the German army. Even then it took the Russians another nine years to completely pacify the countryside as guerrillas known as the Forest Brothers fought the War of the Woods.

The Latvian Legion is greatly honored today. One whole floor of the War Museum in Riga is devoted to them. In Rezekne one entire room of the tiny town museum is filled with Legion memorabilia. Latvians point out that the Legion fought with the Germans, but for Latvia against communism. Also, while the Germans may have been brutal in their treatment of peoples farther east, many Latvians have different memories. At a free adult language class I taught once a week I had occasion to talk to several elderly Latvians about their wartime experiences. One old fellow, an architecture student and labor service member at the time, related how he eagerly volunteered for duty in Germany, but in the chaos of Riga, October, 1944, he was captured by the Russians before he could board a transport ship to the Reich. Another elderly woman noted that the contrast in behavior between the German army and the Russian army in Riga was as between night and day. The Germans acted like gentlemen, she said, the Russians like barbarians. These and other pro-German sentiments were completely unsolicited by me and run counter to the official Latvian position that the country was qualitatively though not quantitatively as victimized by the Nazis as by the Soviets.

A Westerner visiting the Baltic states may be startled to see swastika and swastika-like symbols in embroidery, jewelry and graphic designs. It is not generally known that the swastika is an ancient Indo-European symbol. In Latvian it is referred to as *uguns krusts* or *Laimas krusts*, Laima being one of the principle goddesses of pre-Christian Baltic religion. While on the subject of things associated with National Socialist Germany, I should point out that the honor guard at the Freedom Monument in central Riga used to wear pre-war "German-style" uniforms: coal-scuttle helmets, jackboots and, in the winter, great coats. Just before President Clinton's July, 1994, trip to Riga and his speech at the Freedom Monument, the guards switched to cloth caps. Apparently someone told the Latvians that Nazi-looking guards gave the wrong impression.

Latvians and the Jews

Latvia has had a significant Jewish minority since the 18th century, though Hebrews were never as numerous as they were in Poland or Lithuania. Traditionally, Jews have been city dwellers engaged in commerce which, along with their race and religion, separated them from the rural Latvian farmers. While peasants have always been skeptical of merchants and city folk, Latvia's small Jewish population kept anti-Semitism to a minimum. Even the right-wing, authoritarian government of Karlis Ulmanis (1934-40) had good relations with the Jewish community.

The situation changed rapidly in 1940. Most Jews welcomed the occupation by Soviet troops since they thought it would save them from German occupation. Many of the Secret Police officers directing the arrests, torture and killings were Russian Jews. The Chosen were also disproportionately represented in the Latvian Communist Party which assisted the Soviet occupiers. For these reasons Jews became associated in Latvians' minds with the Soviet takeover of their country, and anti-Jewish feeling greatly increased. When the Germans took over there was little opposition to the National Socialist policy towards the Jews.

Today Latvia has a tiny (0.5%), but influential and very wealthy Jewish minority. I got a glimpse of their clout when a friend and I went to see the Riga premier of *True Lies* at the Oskars cinema. Arnold Schwarzenegger is not normally my cup of tea, but the other movie theater which showed English language films, was playing *Schindler's List*. When my friend and I arrived at Oskars we were told that the theater was closed to the public for a private showing. The Jewish Society of Riga had rented the place for a private viewing of *Schindler's List*, even though the morning paper and theater marquee listed *True Lies* as opening that night. I never got the full story, but apparently the Riga Jews had enough clout to reserve the theater on very short notice for their private affair.

Despite their wealth and influence, Jews in Latvia are generally dissatisfied with their lot. Most are Russian-speaking non-citizens who sided with the pro-Soviet forces during the struggle for renewed independence. About a third of the Jewish population has left since 1991. There is a Jewish high school in Riga and rumor has it that every year the entire graduating class emigrates, mainly to Germany.

Latvians and Religion

As noted earlier, Latvians were converted by the sword during the 13th century. The Lithuanians did not accept Jesus until the late 14th century, making the Balts the last Europeans to become Christian. In Latvia the German minority controlled the churches until the 19th century and radical nationalists long viewed Christianity as a foreign religion. For half a century the Soviets did their best to suppress the churches. In the late 1980s and early 90s there was a modest religious revival since the church was one fairly safe way to oppose the regime.

Today a majority of Latvians are still nominally Lutheran, but it is often a casual Scandinavian-type Lutheranism. Churches are jammed for Christmas Eve services and half-empty the rest of the year. From what I understand, about 10% of the population are active members of a Lutheran congregation, another 10% are practicing Catholics. There's a small but well established Eastern Orthodox Church and recently evangelic missionaries from the West have made some converts.

The religious situation is in as much a state of flux as the political and economic situation. Christianity has earned a certain prestige from its opposition to discredited communism, but the Balts often use Scandinavians as role models and the church is weak in the northlands. Lutheranism has become a quasi-state religion minus the financial support. The opening-day assembly at my school on September 1, 1993, included a blessing by a Lutheran minister. The assembly a year later didn't include the minister, but on that day President Guntis Ulmanis attended a memorial service at the Lutheran Cathedral in Riga to mark the departure of the last of the Russian troops and the end of WWII in Eastern Europe.

There is a small but respectable neo-pagan movement which dates back to the 19th century. All the students at my school study the pre-Christian Latvian religion as part of their cultural history class. In art class they are taught about the old ethno-

graphic symbols, many of which have religious meaning. Consequently all these students are exposed to a religious alternative to Christianity. As part of an English lesson, I had all my students write a short essay on their religious beliefs. I can remember only one out 250 essays that expressed a real commitment to practice the old religion.

Despite the apathy of my students towards the pre-Christian religion, I believe a neo-pagan revival is possible in the Baltic states. First, the old beliefs and symbols are widely known. Second, the long-term trend for Christianity in Northern Europe is on the decline. Third and most importantly, the neo-pagans, though a tiny minority, are not considered quacks or freaks, but are often serious-minded members of the intelligentsia. As one Lithuanian scholar notes, in Baltic culture, "The Christian stratum is recent and can be easily detached."

Speculative Thoughts


Unless overwhelmed by the forces of globalization or Russian imperialism, Latvia may set up a 21st-century ethnostate. The country is the right size in both territory and population and has an ethnic consciousness born out of long struggles to survive. Government statutes reflect a determination to preserve a collective existence. The stated purpose of the Naturalization Bill of November 1993 was "to ensure the development of Latvia as a single-nation state," and the Latvian Language Law of March 1992 refers to Latvia as the "ethnic territory of the Latvian nation."

Had the medieval German invaders been more numerous, Latvians might have been Germanized, as were their western cousins, the Prussians. Had the Soviet (read Russian) occupation lasted another decade, the Latvians might have been Slavized, as were their Belarus cousins. Thus, the desire to remain separate and distinct is tempered by the desire, bordering on desperation, for the security of membership in the European Union (EU) and NATO. Opinion is divided as to whether Latvia will be accepted—EU probably; NATO probably not.

The collapse of the Soviet Union, in which the Baltic republics played a large role, has given the West a window of opportunity. The West should reclaim those nations of the former Eastern Bloc whose cultures are Western (Latin alphabet and Western Christianity). Eastern Europe should be defined by the Cyrillic alphabet and the Orthodox religion. The cultural divide is the natural political divide. The EU should devolve into dozens of ethnostates. The Russian-dominated Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) should do the same.

Russia must become a nation rather than an empire. Russians must become nationalists rather than imperialists. Politically, the world is moving in opposite directions simultaneously. The Czechs and Slovaks have separated into what might be called ethnostates, yet both strongly desire membership in the EU. This is where the future lies—sovereign, ethnically based states formed into large confederations which will facilitate peace and prosperity. The EU and the CIS could combine into a Pan European Conference which would unite with a North American Union. Though reduced in importance, even the United Nations would have a role in such a paradigm.

There is a greater basis for Indo-European unity today than ever before. The greatest problems of developed nations are international: fair trade, Third World immigrants, organized crime, environmental degradation. Our alternatives are not narrow nationalism or global internationalism. Instead, a varied mosaic of ethnostates joined together in unions, commonwealths, federations and confederations could preserve distinct peoples and cultures while insuring international cooperation.



The Economics of the Constitution

Forrest's "The Fall of the House of Usurp" (Nov. 1995) brilliantly points out the decline of the Republic following the War Between the States or, as some call it, the War of Northern Aggression. The decline, I submit, began with the ratification of the Constitution. Originally convened to revise the Articles of Confederation, the convention delegates arbitrarily scrapped the Articles and created a new document. The passage of the Constitution was touch and go. Generally, it was opposed by yeoman farmers and supported by lawyers, merchants and rich landholders with big plantations in the tidewater. What is generally not known is that many in this group had bought Revolutionary War bonds for pennies on the dollar from economically distressed purchasers. The formation of a centralized national government guaranteed the bonds and their 6% interest, providing a huge profit to the bond holders.

Sending federal troops to impose federal edicts didn't begin with Selma, Alabama. The first instance of federal bullying was the suppression of the Whiskey Rebellion in western Pennsylvania in 1794. Hard-pressed farmers, who seldom made \$20 a year and used whiskey as barter, were forced to pay seven cents a gallon on what they distilled. Tax monies went east to pay government expenses, such as interest on the national debt, and further aggravated the short money supply of the region. Illegal liquor was forfeited with half going to the person turning in the violator—similar to today's fine the IRS gives for turning in tax cheats.

605

The Harm of Feminism

In M.M.'s latest writings in *Instauration* she commented on the impolite behavior of other Instaurationists. This is an unfortunate but easily understood phenomenon. I sense a lot of frustration out there towards females and this frustration exists because of the relative dearth of women in the movement. Females are less apt to study political issues and more likely to adopt what is fashionable rather than what is true. In addition feminism has seriously eroded the overall quality of the American female. Even some "movement" females stubbornly adhere to feminist notions.

If M.M. or any of her sisters are seriously interested in becoming involved in the intellectual side of the radical right, they should first come to a full understanding of the anti-human nature of feminism. They should then learn how to oppose it and create an alternative.

Having watched the Palestinian Resistance for many years, I am continually amazed at the Palestinians' ability to survive ferocious oppression and continue their desperate struggle. Part of their strength is the courage of their young men, but an equal part of it is the strength of their women, who stand by their side and fully share in the struggle.

Over here we are burdened with females whose feminist-inspired desire to be "free-spirited and independent" has turned them into overage children who cannot lead, follow or get out the way.

144

Affirmative Action Death

The February issue had a short review of an article about affirmative action among fire fighters. The article noted that it used

to take 10 to 12 years to become a lieutenant, but affirmative action made it possible for women and minorities to be promoted much faster. There was a story about a black lieutenant killed by a 2,000-degree back draft. It caught him standing, while the white fire fighters he presumably commanded hit the floor, and survived. Those few words painted a vivid picture.

Those fire fighters could see what was coming and moved to save themselves in time. Any one of them could have called out to the lieutenant and told him to duck. But the cosmic justice of the situation made the temptation to hesitate irresistible. A properly competent lieutenant, with 10 plus years' experience, would have seen what was about to happen. He should have been the one who shouted the warning. Only by covering for the black lieutenant's incompetence, only by performing his work for him—as the unwritten rules demand—could one of the other fire fighters have saved his life. Every one of them elected not to do that.

What they did was a species of murder or maybe negligent manslaughter. But there is no way to prove any wrongdoing. The incident indicates that in really dangerous occupations accepting an affirmative action promotion can prove to be fatal.

145

Hatefulest Letter

I am a 28-year-old black man living in New Orleans. I am an intelligent proud black American and I am not afraid of your vile propaganda. It is obviously your own small minded fear of the African peoples superior intellect and endurance which fuels your pathetic hatred. This racist terror is not unjustified—YOU SHOULD BE SCARED! Like cornered rats you white devils bare your yellow teeth and blackened souls. Like cornered rats you shriek helplessly as the black man rises with the rage of hundreds of years and stamps out your race. Unlike your savage, animal-like methods, we won't need bloodthirsty literature to incite feeble minded rednecks to run into the streets with guns and nooses. Your hopes to have the black man kill himself in gang warfare will fail too. Fear not the Negro street thug, for he is a dying breed. Fear the new Negro army, the educated black man. Fear us, for we will take everything you have. Your homes, your land, your businesses, your schools, your churches, your stadiums, your souls. A black America is upon us and we won't need guns. We have intelligence, cunning, money, connections and above all a deep resentment of your filthy kind to win the war. My advice is to crawl into your little hole for the next five years and hope that economically you can even afford the paper to print your feeble rantings on, when you crawl out. The white man bleeds money, and trust the lord—your blood will flow freely into the black mans hands. The reign of white "power" is over. You can count on it.

New Orleans Negro

Vive les Libertarians!

Zip 209 (March 1996) is correct about Libertarians. In the political realm they are our best hope. Contrary to the editor, materialism isn't the Libertarian God, individual freedom is. I think the editor's thinking has been influenced by Jewess Ayn Rand (*geboren* Alice Rosenbaum). Not all Libertarians are disciples of Rand and her (largely Jewish) circle. As to Libertarian presiden-

tial candidate Harry Browne, the quote of his by the editor is out of context. It appears in Browne's book, *Why Government Doesn't Work*, on the subject of foreign aid and why self-sufficient nations like Israel don't need it. The quote had nothing to do with the history of territorial claims over Palestine. I'll be blunt. I love my race, but I also love my liberty and I'd resent living under an authoritarian state, even of my own people, as much as the minority laden one we suffer under now. In a Libertarian society we'd all be free to exclude nonwhites from our lives if we so chose and most whites freed from affirmative action and civil rights laws would do just that. Forced separatism by government edict is just as bad as the forced mixing we have now.

074

What About "Redneck?"

I appreciated M.M. revisiting the redneck question (March 1996). She and I define the term differently. I give redneck a more general, positive meaning, in the "good ole boy" vein. Like in the country song, *Redneck, White Socks and Blue Ribbon Beer*, or the newer *Gimme a Redneck Girl*. The media, of course, mean the term as a putdown. I believe in taking lemons and making lemonade. M.M. gives redneck a more narrow, negative meaning, which is her prerogative.

240

Proposed Improvements

Three things I wish Instauration had in every issue: (1) As many articles as possible ending at the bottom of a page. It makes it easier to cut them out to mail to possible converts, to those who inhabit the "Waverer's Corner"; (2) Sober, not polemical, articles about race that won't turn off the undecided; (3) Articles about blacks that do not refer to the Chosen. It is ten times as easy to make a convert by talking about the sins of blacks (particularly after the O.J. trial) than the sins of Jews. The Holocaust still casts its magic spell.

545

Comments of a Disbeliever

Occasionally one of our Christian fellow travelers gets his feelings hurt because our editor publishes someone's depreciating comment about his religion or its practitioners. Then there follows some soul searching in the Safety Valve about whether or not we should actually bare our souls when it comes to religion. After all, our numbers are minuscule and we need all the help we can get. Allow me to testify that my total life experience shows that those who have made it known to me in just a few minutes of conversation that they are practicing Christians are suffering from some serious mental disorder along the lines of financial irresponsibility, homosexuality, thievery, perjury and general dishonesty. To top this off they are grossly intolerant of all other sects and religions. Far and away the most peculiar of these "deeply religious people" are those who also believe in "The Rapture." Is it really possible to be any more kooked out than to believe in that?

What takes the absolute cake for me is contained in the admonition I got about politics and race from some Bible-thumping, computer-literate people that I thought were friends. The situation involved the recent abrogation of the First Amendment by Congress with the Telecommunications Reform Bill, a.k.a. Censorship of the Internet. "Someone has to keep the child pornography off the Internet," they said. My remark was, "What for, it's all over TV, newspapers, movies and magazines." They countered, "Well if you don't like it in America, why don't you move somewhere else?" My response: "I like America just fine;

it's these new laws that scare me." The pious Christians went on to tell me that they did not care about politics, nor did they want to know about it. They did not care what happened anywhere except on their own little street. Then I asked the \$64 question, "What will you do when a significant plank from your religion gets outlawed?" I was stunned at the answer these chapter-and-verse-quoting believers gave. With a grim, tight-lipped expression, a voice hardened with conviction and a fierce look directed into my eyes, they said, "When that happens we will get some new beliefs." My remark to them as I headed out the door: "Thanks for the candid reply, I have finally met an honest Christian." As far as protecting our race is concerned, modern Christians are of no help and should be shunned as mental defectives.

775

In Praise of Forrest

There is so much value in the writings of N.B. Forrest that it is difficult, if not impossible, to cite my favorites. But December's assault on the Eastern Establishment was so well reasoned that it demands specific applause. An Easterner myself, though hardly of its elite class, I fully blame the Establishment's hatred of the white working class as the underlying source of this nation's racial sickness. The Establishment's private academies, colleges, men's clubs and fraternities were thrown open to racial minorities long before they were open to the white proletariat. In the early 1960s, I recruited elite girls' colleges for management intern positions at a well-known international electronics firm. Even back then, representatives of the white working class were few and far between. All I saw were daughters of the rich and girls from our urban minority slums. Both hated white "factory people" for their own reasons. Without question, the daughters of "factory people" could have gained more from four years paid-up experience at Smith, Mount Holyoke or Vassar than the very rich and very black.

220

Go to the Source

Reading letters to the Safety Valve I find that most Instaurationists only have a superficial understanding of the "inequality of the human races." For every subject, there is usually one author who wrote the best-researched, "classic" book about it. On the subject of race this book (4 volumes in all) was written by the French author, Count Arthur de Gobineau, entitled, *Essai sur l'inégalité des races humaines*.

Unfortunately, only the first volume, the least important, since it is more or less an introduction to the other three, was ever translated into English. In France a new edition has recently been published. I discovered an Italian version some years back displayed in the front window of a bookstore in Venice. In Germany the books may be found in university libraries. (They no longer loan them out, so perseverance is necessary.) The German title is *Versuch Über die Ungleichheit der Menschenrassen* vom Grafen Gobineau, Deutsche Ausgabe, translated by Ludwig Schemann. Twice I was able to buy the books through a book antiquary: Uve Berg Verlag, 21442 Toppenstedt or Versand Antiquariat Schmidt, Untere Klinge 2, 72406 Bisingen, Germany. They will put your name on a waiting list and will notify you when they have found what you ordered.

Reading these works will give Instaurationists a true understanding of history throughout the ages and will enable them to judge people by recognizing their racial makeup, which will help to reveal their characteristics and predict their behavior, a valuable benefit in everyday dealings with business associates, friends and relatives.

983



Death of a Sleaze Artist

Clinton's mourning over the death of Ron Brown was almost as mournful as the long, drawn-out obsequies he staged for the late Yitzhak Rabin. Lachrymose lamentations over the demise of a man who was head of Israel's armed forces when Zionist planes and torpedo boats killed 34 Americans in the attack on the *U.S.S. Liberty* was pure Clintoniana. It was no surprise that the President put on a similar show for Brown, perhaps the sleaziest member of a Cabinet overflowing with sleaze. Brown was chief lobbyist for Haitian dictator Jean-Claude "Baby Doc" Duvalier; chief guru for Jesse Jackson at the 1988 Democratic Convention; a onetime chief Shylock for Senator Ted Kennedy; and last but not least a part-owner of a slummish apartment complex.

Among other shady operations, Brown was accused of accepting a \$700,000 bribe from a Vietnamese businessman whom he denied ever seeing, though later he was forced to admit he had met him three times. The late Commerce Secretary also lied about his income from a business partnership.

Brown was smarter than Hillary, who made \$100,000 on a \$1,000 investment. He made \$500,000 to \$1 million on a zero investment. He was a partner of Noland Hall, a wheeler-dealeress, who borrowed \$25 million from a couple of crooked S&Ls that promptly went bust. She never repaid a penny on the loans. In Washington, Brown was a constant visitor to Ms. Hall's \$4,500-a-month apartment. Supposed to be a sharp businessman, Brown and partner Hall failed in every venture on which they embarked—importing Beatles' posters from Poland, an oil operation in Angola, plus a raft of other no-brainer deals.

Despite all the financial hanky-panky that would quickly have shot down a white pol, Brown managed to make it to the top of the government pyramid. In one sense his death was well-timed. An independent counsel having been appointed to look into his nefarious affairs, it's quite possible that eventually Clinton would have been forced to fire instead of eulogize his favorite black politico.

Disadvantaged Thieves

If you own a socially and economically disadvantaged business, you can apply for a loan from a special \$1-billion fund of the Dept. of Energy. All you have to do

is fill out some papers and say you meet the qualifications. The Inspector General recently reviewed 234 of such contracts, amounting to \$131.2 million. Thirty-three of the subcontractors didn't come close to being socially and economically disadvantaged. Nevertheless, they received a significant percentage of the Dept. of Energy's \$1 billion stash.

Million Jews Missing?

With the presidential election in the offing, strategists for Clinton and the Democratic National Committee have begun a massive search for the million missing Jews who supposedly stayed home in the 1992 presidential election when Jews went 80% for Clinton. To prevent them from staying home again, Demo strategists are putting together an elaborate national organization to ferret out the slackers. The search is on for a prominent chairman—Elie Wiesel and Edgar Bronfman Sr. are two of the names bandied about—to head the Clinton-Gore Jewish Steering Committee (though such a name might suggest that Jews are steering Clinton and Gore, not vice versa).

Titillating Gossip

Although James Hostly's book, *Assignment: Oswald*, hews to the Warren Report line, it does arouse a chuckle or two. FBI checks on Warren Commission members revealed a surprising out-of-character affair between old clean-living Earl and someone on his staff.

Where the Dues Go

The AFL-CIO has signed a memorandum of understanding with Israel formalizing years of cooperation. Labor unions and their leaders bought more than \$10 million worth of Israel bonds at a swank champagne brunch at the AFL-CIO's mid-winter meeting in Bal Harbour (FL) in early March. AFL-CIO President John Sweeney and his executive vice president, Linda Chavez-Thompson, are both planning trips to Israel.

Bad Samaritan

One of the latest murderous plays in the bulging files of U.S. crime features a man in a dark pickup who pulls up alongside a car driven by a lone woman and yells out something to the effect that her vehicle is emitting sparks and smoke. The woman stops, turns off the ignition, gets out to inspect the alleged fire, whereupon the man

who brought her the false news offers to drive her to the nearest garage. In some cases the man makes good on his promise. In the case of Alicia Reynolds of Harrisburg (VA), a bright, 25-year-old Majority graduate student in biology, she has been missing since March 2. She was last seen getting into a dark pickup. Her abandoned car was found on U.S. 29 in Culpeper County (VA).

Delayed Quotes

"Within a few years Hitler will emerge from the hatred that surrounds him now as one of the most significant figures that ever lived," wrote JFK in 1945 when he was a reporter for Reuters. Kennedy also wrote that the "mysterious" Hitler "had in him the stuff of which legends are made." How strange that these quotes, which appear in the *Prelude to Leadership: The European Diary of John F. Kennedy*, did not emerge when Kennedy was running for President.

Clinton's Hebrew Hustler

Noach Dear, one of the more cloutful cliquists of the Clinton-Gore clique, raised nearly \$350,000 for the president's reelection campaign over the past several months. Because of Dear's involvement in a variety of scandals in New York in the last ten years, Clinton is not overly willing to publicize the financial help of his dear friend, Dear. The target of an inquiry by Zoo City authorities following the bankruptcy of a kosher restaurant in which he was heavily involved, Dear has feuded with Senator Patrick Moynihan, whom he has called Israel's "Public Enemy Number One." At any rate, Dear continues to deliver the goods and is especially adept at hustling Orthodox Jews to fork over money to Hillary's husband's campaign. When they protest they are Republicans, he tells them, "Hold your nose and sign a check."

Jews Pay More for Insurance?

New York Governor Pataki's office is asking the state insurance commissioner to determine whether life insurance companies are charging higher rates to individuals who travel frequently to Israel. The Zionist state is included on a list of "War Risk Countries" on the letterhead of the Hartford Life Insurance Co., along with Afghanistan, Rwanda, Somalia and Haiti.

Slanted Bio

Kati Marton, once the wife of Peter Jennings, is now hitched to Richard Holbrooke, the State Dept.'s Jewish mediator and Kissinger in the making, who has

temporarily moved back to Wall St. to pick up a few extra millions to tide him over until he reenters public service. Besides her marriages, Ms. Marton is noteworthy for her recently published book about Count Folke Bernadotte, the idealistic Swedish diplomat who tried to broker a peace between the Palestinians and the Zionists. For his pains he was murdered by Stern Gang terrorists. In her book Marton, a first generation American, who is part-Hungarian and part-Jewish, treats Bernadotte shabbily and his Jewish assassins not unkindly.

Boilerplate Novel

If I Die on the Jersey Front (Orchard Press) is a story of America after the racial split, when blacks have their own rump state. An odd mix of politically correct and incorrect, the book has the usual borsomy blonde who loses her virginity to the black hero, remarking, "He was very big and it hurt at first."

811

McCarthy Vindicated

Almost half a century after Senator Joseph McCarthy was ridiculed, politically assassinated and driven from office to an early death by our peerless media for having dared to expose Communist agents in the U.S., we now learn that, if anything, his estimates of Reds in the government were modest. Recently released NSA transcripts of intercepted cables sent by KGB agents to Moscow in 1944 and 1945 reveal that more than 100 Soviet agents had wormed their way into the State, Justice and Treasury Departments and the Office of Strategic Services. This revelation comes as no surprise to Americans old enough to have lived through the period when the OSS was referred to by Americans in the know as the Office of Soviet Stooges. The reason the NSA did not release this information earlier, it was explained, is that it would have revealed that the U.S. was intercepting and reading Soviet coded messages. May Tailgunner Joe *requiescat in pace*.

Smart Apple

According to Carrell Dawsey's *Living to Tell About It*, black youths who "make it" are resented by their ghetto peers not because of their achievements, but because they don't act "black" anymore: "They've lost touch with the 'walk' and 'talk' of their peers," writes the author. Dawsey really means they don't do the pimp pump when they stroll the streets and don't spout jive when they open their mouths. The author claims he never made this mistake. "We played chess in our community. I had a buddy, Lance, who

could beat Boris Spassky. We never saw chess as a white man's game." Funny that these clever dark gents are seen so infrequently in international competitions.

Defanged Shylock

Zoo City's Manhattan Theater Club put on a version of *The Merchant of Venice* with a WASP Portia and Lorenzo, a Latina Nerissa, a black Gratiano, a gay Antonio, an Irish Bassanio and a "de-Judaized" Shylock. A. R. Gurney, the producer of the play, is considered "America's WASP playwright." Up to now his dramatic speciality has been exposing the trials and "tribulations" of the Connecticut country club set. Gurney says he based his soft-spoken, unthreatening Shylock on his father, "who is not Jewish."

Oddments

- Hoopster Mahmoud Abdul-Rauf (né Chris Jackson) decided one fine day that his Islamic faith forbade him to stand up during the *Star Spangled Banner*. The NBA promptly suspended him. Since the loss of a single day's pay costs Abdul \$31,307, he quickly had second thoughts. He now stands up again when the National Anthem is played.

- John Howard happily opened what he called the "World's Only Klan Museum" in Laurens (SC). Some days later a white drove his van in and out of Howard's "store" several times. Despite the damage, Howard was back in business a few hours later.

- After seven years of litigation the Menendez brothers have finally been found guilty of matri/patricide. One question remains. Robert Shapiro of Simpson's Dream Team, takes credit for getting one of the brothers out of Israel and bringing him back to the U.S. to face the original murder charges. Does this mean the brothers are Jews? The father was usually described as a Cuban exile.

- Lt. Col. Frank Scotti, an ROTC teacher at Roosevelt Senior High School in the District of Columbia, didn't like the marijuana fumes flowing into his office's open window. He went outside and chastised the culprits—three former Negro students who promptly beat him unconscious. Seven weeks after the attack Scotti was able to return to work with a metal plate in the bone under his eye and his jaw still completely numb. His assailants were quickly rounded up. Three Negro students who cheered as Scotti was being attacked may face suspension for not reporting the crime.

- The Heritage Restaurant opened last summer in Philadelphia and for a while business boomed. The decor, the service and the cuisine were Afrocentric. Waiters served customers in kente-cloth vestments. The Black History theme packed in the largely Negro customers. But then all at once the place was practically empty. In three months the eatery was bankrupt. What had happened? Blacks had found out that the restaurateur was not a Negro but a Chosen gentleman named Philip Cohen.

- There was a great to-do when two white soldiers at Ft. Bragg (NC) were arrested for shooting down two Negro civilians. There was much less of a to-do when a Guamanian, Jessie Quintanilla, shot to death two white officers at Camp Pendleton (CA), after which he made a racist funeral oration to some soldiers gathered in a helicopter hangar. He explained that he did his bloody deed for "the brothers on the brown side [but] this is only the beginning. We have a hit list. The brothers have been wronged. . . ."

- Shortly after three Jews moved into Reedsport (OR), news of hate crimes began to surface in the media. Later, as happens so often, the swastika scrawls and hate messages were traced back to the Jews themselves.

Like the Country, Betty Crocker Is Getting Darker



General Mills' 1986 version



The latest (1996) Betty



Split Soul

Ilan Stavans, a Mexican-Jewish writer and translator, writes of his "exile" in an expensive Victorian mansion in Amherst:

I realized I could no longer live in a society that doesn't give any space to Jews. . . .Spanish was the public venue; Hebrew was a channel toward Zionism, not toward the synagogue; Yiddish symbolized the Holocaust and struggles of the Eastern European labor movement; and English was the entrance door to redemption in the United States. . . . Spanish is my right eye, English my left, Yiddish my background and Hebrew my conscience.

He goes on, "I knew Latin American writers in exile in the United States who had made it their duty not to learn English." Stavans' grandparents came from Eastern Europe, "dreaming of America, the land of gold," but landed in Cuba and eventually settled in Mexico City. Stavans describes his Mexican neighborhood as a "self-imposed Jewish ghetto where Gentiles hardly existed." He sniffs that Franz Kafka wasn't studied in Mexican schools until the early 70s. He's proud of a literary hoax he pulled off: "I've even translated a book of short stories of Latin American writers, none of whom exist!"

Low Art

An exhibit at New York's Jewish Museum in March was blurbled: "Too Jewish? Challenging Traditional Identities." One piece of "art" was a photo of Bob Dylan surrounded by large Hebrew characters. Another was an acrylic painted sign stating: "I'm a Jew how 'bout U?!!" Another a Warholesque silkscreen of Barbra Streisand in drag surrounded by images of Barbie dolls. Also noteworthy was a series of 24 hand-modeled self-portraits, some in edible chocolate, of the Chosen artiste, Hannah Wilke. "Jewish Noses" consisted of sculptures of the probosces of various Jewish culture vultures. Other objets d'art were paintings of matzoh boxes, a Hanukkah "geltbelt" and a menorah made from a fake Chanel bag.

Negro Riposted Gertie

"Comprehension is a matter of perception. . . .Negroes cannot see the difference in two faces in a photograph." Such was the dictum of Gertrude Stein uttered more than 60 years ago (N.Y. Times, Oct. 25, 1934). A rebuttal was forthcoming by Hodge Kirnon, a Negro, in the same

paper (Nov. 2, 1934): "I am a Negro, and can certainly see as much difference in the faces of Miss Stein and, say, Edna St. Vincent Millay, as I can see in the meaningless twaddle of Miss Stein and the beautiful verses of Miss Millay."

Jewess Boycotts Half-Jew

The Beverly Hills Bistro Garden has been shut down following a Jewish boycott. For years it was a favorite watering hole of wealthy Tinseltown denizens. No more. An offhand remark by the son of Kurt Niklas, the owner, combined with a Jewish gossip and slander campaign, put him out of business. Jewish socialite Ellen Byrens, a refugee from Nazi Germany, claims that the young Niklas remarked to her as he was showing her to a table, "Over there—I thought you people can smell each other." When Mrs. Byrens complained to the elder Niklas, she was told, "You are only looking for a reason to go elsewhere." Notorious throughout Beverly Hills for her continual pushing, angling and jockeying to obtain more prestigious seats in local restaurants, Mrs. Byrens made a number of calls to people who in turn made calls, and the boycott by Jews and Jew-fearing Gentiles was on. Accelerating it were false allegations that the fair-skinned, German-accented, blue-eyed Mr. Niklas was holding Nazi meetings in the restaurant basement. In no time the Bistro was out of business. Mr. Niklas, by the way, is half-Jewish.

Black Market Nuptials

Interfaith marriages are a moneymaker these days. Some ministers and rabbis have miraculously obtained the proper credentials from oddball institutes, such as Yogi/Rabbi Joseph Gelberman's New Seminary in Manhattan. Fat sums are charged to perform marriages for Jewish and Christian partners rejected by purist rabbis. With 800 numbers, wedding packages and professional reference services providing kickbacks to caterers and florists, these business-minded clerics are doing a booming business, taking in \$1 million annually.


Another Stool Pigeon

For more than ten years, two of them spent in the group's compound, Floyd Cochran, an ex-felon (breaking and entering) was a card-carrying member of the

Aryan Nations. He then decided to make some money, to cash in on his experience, as it were. He tore up his membership card and got into the profitable trade of defaming everyone and everything to which he had once sworn fealty. In a sense, his Aryan Nations stint became a prep course for his graduate-level anti-Aryanism. As many ex-racists know, it is a cinch to be an informer. Ail you have to do is join a so-called neo-Nazi group for a few years, then go public and shovel out every piece of dirt you picked up or invented about your former comrades. There's lots of money to be made in shafting erstwhile pals and sucking up to former enemies.

Barry's on the Loose Again

Compared to Michael Milken and Ivan Boesky, Barry Minkow is a two-bit Jewish hustler. He only cheated his investors out of some \$30 million. Minkow's claim to fame was that he raked in his stolen millions when in his teens. Sentenced to 25



Barry in his heyday

years, he was let out after serving only seven.

What is Barry up to now? He's married. He's converted to Christianity. He teaches a Bible class. He lectures on how to prevent corporate fraud.

Clued Lyrics

The few whites who know the voice of their race and follow it are described by the first two lines from *One Stage Before* by Al Stewart from his 1976 album, *Year of the Cat*. As for the 95% of Americans who are about as receptive to our message as a brick wall, the last two lines apply:

*And some of you are harmony to all
the notes I play
Although we may not meet still you
know me well
While others talk in secret keys and
transpose all I say
And nothing I do or try can get through
the spell.*

Talking Numbers

1.83 11 2 100.013 .03% -43 31425

In the first six months of 1995 pro-Israel PACs gave \$210,713 or 57% of their outlays to Repubs and \$157,393 to Demos—a switch from 1993-94, when 72% of pro-Israel money went to Demos.

U.S. Jews, 35 and under, are currently outmarrying at a rate of nearly 60%.

A United Jewish Appeal Federation of New York spokeswoman sorrowfully reported that 15% to 20% of Jewish husbands and wives have been known to knock each other around.

Black college students and black military veterans have 3% to 19% more testosterone than their white counterparts. . . Black infants have a smaller head perimeter at birth than white infants. . . Adult blacks have larger bodies than whites, but still retain a smaller head perimeter. ("Race and Crime: An International Dilemma," J. Philippe Rushton, Society, 1995; pp. 32, 37-41.)

The Defense Dept. wants \$620 million in hazard pay for the 20,000 G.I.s sloshing about in Bosnia.

Between 8,000 and 10,000 Jews are currently locked up in North American jails.

The Census Bureau acknowledged that the 1990 Census undercounted Hispanics by 5.2%, American Indians by 5%, Asian Pacific Islanders by 3.1%. To the dismay of these minorities, the Supreme Court forbade any revision of the figures.

Almost half the enlisted women in the U.S. Armed Forces are black.

In 1964 history courses were mandatory in 60% of the nation's top colleges and universities. Today it's down to 2%. In 1963 physical- and biological-science courses were required in 90% of these institutions; today only 34%. In regard to math courses, in 1993 only 12%, down from 82% in 1964.

In 1984 the Supreme Court handed down 150 signed opinions; last year only 82.

Tortest state! Alabama appellate courts affirmed \$410,000 in total punitive damage awards in 1974-78; over \$200 million in the single year of 1994.

U.S. Attorney General Janet Reno has authorized federal prosecutors to seek the

death penalty against 61 defendants (12 white, 7 Hispanics, 2 Asians and 40 blacks). The overrepresentation of blacks has civil liberties groups griping, though there is no proof that the sentences are undeserved.

In 1969-95, in the Northern Ireland "Troubles," the IRA and Ulster Protestants killed 3,161 people. In the same period 7,502 were murdered in Washington (DC).

The Census Bureau predicts that the U.S. population, now 262 million, will increase to 394 million by 2050. Hispanics will comprise 24.5%, Asians 8.2%, blacks 13.6%. It's scary to think what the U.S. population will look like in 2100, if the country still exists.

When they're at home, 32 million people in the U.S. speak a non-English language.

Since 1972, G.I.s have committed 4,600 serious crimes in Okinawa.

In Massachusetts, welfare pays more than a \$30,000-a-year job that includes benefits.

45% of elderly immigrants in California were on the welfare roll in 1990. 66% of freeloaders were Russians, the media euphemism for Jewish gate-crashers.

A phone poll conducted by KQV-AM Pittsburgh on the question of Clinton's promise of \$100 million to Israel to fight terrorism, produced 752 responses—696 nays, 56 ayes.

Between fiscal 1992-1995 the U.S. shelled out more than \$6 billion for UN "peacekeeping" operations in Haiti, Somalia, Rwanda and the successor states of Yugoslavia.

The Maryland Small Business Development Financial Authority has guaranteed a \$500,000 loan to Our World News, a black-owned and operated national newspaper scheduled to start up this summer. Innumerable other taxpayer-funded loans are on the horizon.

Jews comprise 26% of the journalists and executives in major media outlets; 59% of the creators of last year's 50 most successful films; 40% of the partners in the leading New York and Washington law firms. The Chosen are estimated to

give one-quarter to one-third of all contributions to politicians, which effectively sews up congressional approval for the most outrageous giveaways to the Zionist state.

Some 150,000 "visitors" to the U.S. each year remain after their visas have expired. Their new status then designates them as "undocumented aliens."

The average donation to Buchanan's race in the Republican presidential primaries was \$416, compared to Dole's \$828.

In 1995 almost 100,000 apparel jobs were lost. Another 42,000 jobs were lost in the fabric industry. In the early 1970s the industry employed 1.5 million workers. Today the number is less than 850,000. Only Pat Buchanan spoke eloquently about this problem.

A Roper Poll found 20% of Americans want to bring all immigration, legal and illegal, to a crashing halt.

The Michael Jordan Foundation spent \$844,865 in the 1994-95 fiscal year, 48% of it in operations and fundraising. Only 52% of the take was allocated to the charitable purposes for which the foundation was established.

In 1990 more than \$1.3 billion was spent by state and local governments on public defenders for so-called indigent criminals.

The annual payroll of the White House's 407 employees is \$21.8 million. Leon Panetta, President Clinton's chief of staff, gets \$133,600. The lowliest staffer pockets \$22,000. The lowliest lawyer gets \$75,000. About 13% of the employees are Jews, although Jews account for less than 3% of the U.S. population.

Jews currently hold 10 seats in the U.S. Senate, a threefold overrepresentation.

The late Anne Schreiber, who, starting in 1944 with \$5,000, built a fortune of \$22 million by shrewd stock trading, is the "heroine" of just another Jewish fairy tale. Back in 1936 she was already getting \$900 a year in dividends.

Who smokes? 22.9% of whites 18 and over; 25.1% of blacks, 21.2% of Hispanics, 14.4% of Asians.

A 1983 Gallup Poll revealed that the public rates lawyers as the lowest of the low, along with admen, car salesmen and TV talk show hosts.

Primate Watch



Ralph Reed, the Christian Coalition's chief massager of Jews, spoke at the Jewish Community Center in Whippany (NY) last year. He donated his \$12,000 fee to Jewish charities, including the U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum. The only non-Jew to speak that year at the center, Reed was also the only speaker who gave his fee to Jewish causes.

In her teens, **Barbra Streisand** was taunted by other Jewish schoolmates in her yeshiva with such names as, "Big Beak" and "Cross Eyes," according to James Spada's biography. After hearing that Prince Charles had described her as his "only pin-up," Barbra joked that she should have married him and become the first REAL Jewish princess.

Prominent Negroes seem to have an in-born yen for plagiarism. Even more of a word filcher than **Rev. Martin Luther King Jr.** was **Alex Haley**, author of the 1967 bestseller, *Roots*. Haley stole so much of his opus from the works of folklorist Harold Courlander that he had to pay the latter the tidy sum of \$500,000. Courlander died a couple of months ago and his obituary was given a few sparse inches of type. Meanwhile, Haley and King continue to remain hallowed saints in the Negro pantheon.

The campaign records of **Carol Moseley-Braun** (D-IL), the Senate's only Negro member, are in such disarray that about all she can do is blame her missing financial reports on her office's computer, which was allegedly "fried" by an electric power surge. On Jan. 1 the Senator's campaign debts amounted to \$685,191.

Ira Epstein of Mill Valley (CA) made a small fortune selling bogus pills "guaranteed" to cure impotence. When the law finally caught up with him, he was sentenced to a year and a day in prison and ordered to give up his \$400,000 home, his Mercedes and his bank accounts totaling \$275,000.

F. Lee Bailey's Jewish colleague, Kenneth Fishman, told the press that the jailed lawyer was doing very well in prison. A guard vehemently disagreed. He said Bailey is in a constant state of fearful shock, barely eats and is deathly afraid of what white supremacist inmates might do to him for what he did to L.A. Police Dept. Mark Fuhrman in the Simpson trial. Let us pray that the Majority Renegade of

the Year is suffering what Dante said Judas suffered in the lowest circle of Hell.

Before you buy an airplane ticket to the Virgin Islands, consider this: On January 24, eight white tourists were ambushed and robbed and three seriously injured by **two masked gunmen**. No arrests as yet. On February 15, a vacationing white woman from Indiana was raped at gunpoint by **two Negroes**, while her husband was forced to watch. In March, two white construction workers from South Carolina were robbed and murdered by **masked gunmen** who forced them to lie face down in the driveway of their rented home, before shooting them in the back of the head.

A queer band of San Francisco rockers, the **Pansy Division**, put on quite a show in Dallas recently. The singer-bassist peeled off his clothes on stage to reveal a transparent nightgown. He then proceeded to exhibit his private parts to the audience.

Joseph Chavis Jr., 30, rose out of the slums of Beaumont (TX) to join Dallas's oldest law firm. He really had it made. The media pointed him out as proof that all a Negro needs is a little get-up-and-go and the world will beat a path to his door. In the midst of all the praise, as if driven by some malicious gene, Joe held up a teller and stole \$1,430 from a local bank. The media quickly switched from cheers to tears of sympathy.

Marina Abramovic, a self-proclaimed Yugoslav "artist" and lecturer, probably of the Jewish persuasion, manages to garner headlines by exposing her naked body to snakes. She also encourages members of her audience to nick her with razor blades. There's more. She lies down on a bed which she sets on fire and throws locks of her hair into the flames.

Novelist **John Grisham**, who makes barrelsful of money (\$29 million in 1994-95) with his politically correct thrillers and their Hollywood adaptations, now has learned the hard way about his beloved minorityites. The late **Jay Baron**, Grisham's agent, fleeced him out of a small fortune by supposedly diverting a sizable amount of the writer's money to Grisham's lawyer, **Elliot Lefkovich**. **Rev. Al Sharpton** blames much of his troubles on his father's stepdaughter, 18, with whom his **incestuous dad** had a child. The Rev-

erend still believes **Tawana Brawley**, the black teenager, was gang-raped by a bunch of marauding whites.

Even the thickest-skinned politicians in Montgomery (AL) were horrified by **Nedrick Hogan**, 20, who was arrested and charged not only with kidnapping and raping a 15-year-old girl, but on top of that raping (four times) her one-year-old daughter. The infant is now in the intensive care ward with a broken leg, second- and third-degree burns, and bruises all over her tiny body. Nedrick could only be a Negro—and is.

Acclaimed Negro choreographer **Bill T. Jones** explained to an audience in Zoo City's 92nd Street Y how he exposed himself to two children and their father on a street in the Hamptons in Long Guyland. "I stopped and I just pulled my pants down. I didn't grind my hips or anything . . . I pulled my pants up. . . . It was not meant to hurt anyone. It was saying, 'Can we dare do this?'"

Mr. and Mrs. Gerald Hill of Chicago are being held on \$100,000 bail for injecting their four children (ages 5 through 12) with cocaine, sexually abusing them and feeding them roaches and rats. Could there be the slightest doubt about the Hills' race?

Another Jewish spy or at least one whose face displays very Jewish traits has been arrested decades after he did his dirty work for the Soviet Union. A communications clerk for the National Security Agency back in the 1960s and early 70s, **Robert Lipka** eluded arrest until last February. What finally nailed him was testimony from an ex-wife, plus what was written in a 1994 book by a former KGB officer, Oleg Kalugin.

St. Johns Home Health Agency, a Florida visiting nurse service, cheated Medicare out of \$70 million. So say federal investigators. The top man at St. Johns is **Arnold Friedman**, president of South Florida's Boy Scout Council, lavish contributor to the Democratic Party and, if we take him at his word, a good pal of another crook—William Jefferson Clinton.

Several decades after his assassination, **Georgia Powers** wrote an autobiography about her love life with **Rev. Martin Luther King Jr.** The former Kentucky state representative, always at the lustful preacher's beck and call, was with him the night before he caught that fatal bullet. So far no comment from St. Martin's wife.



Waspishly Yours

Wrong are those who argue that the UN is worthless, that it's nothing more than a dubious debating society dense with dithering bureaucrats who only bubble to life long enough to burp when it becomes necessary to criticize good ole Uncle Sam. But aren't these the same top-hatted heroes who recommended the cave-in "compromise" which created Israel?

Once, just once, this melange of mongrels on the "diplomatic" make, this worldwide cauldron of anti-West resentment. . . just once the UN managed to elude the poisonous clutches of Zionism and do something right. Despite the opposition of the U.S., just once the UN managed to pass a resolution declaring that Zionism is a form of racism. Hallelujah!

For years Zionists smashed and gnashed, railed and howled, thrashed about and threatened. They had been branded as racists by the world community and they burned from the brand and were bound and determined to bully and scheme until they had torn the red-hot iron from their innocent breast. (How could the heroes of Shoah-biz be racists?)

Since there was nothing to be "implemented" except an honest statement of fact, there was nothing for the Israelis to fight—except the plain and simple truth.

Since talk is cheap, there was nothing for the U.S. to worry about. It wasn't being asked to send Marines into Lebanon to die for Israel again, or soldiers into the Sinai or onto the stolen Golan Heights. Nor was the U.S. being told to slaughter Iraqis to make the Middle East safer for shofars. Nor was it being told to sit still again while the Jews slaughtered 34 Americans, as they did in their deliberate attempt to sink the *U.S.S. Liberty*.

In short, since the UN resolution declaring Zionism a form of racism didn't require the U.S. to do anything except to accept the obvious, the tail which wags the puppy-dog Congress complained bitterly, even though there was nothing for the blockheads to block, either in the Congress or in the Security Council. But the Hebrews were unhappy to be outed as racists, so naturally Uncle Sugar was also sour, for Uncle long ago had been infected with a virulent form of the Zionist virus. How many times can you be raped and still hope to escape contamination?

The UN has passed dozens of resolutions condemning one racist outrage after another of racist Israel. So what? In the Security Council the U.S. can stymie any stigma against Israel, especially when action is required.

For example, could the cash-register coalition against Saddam Hussein ever have happened if it hadn't been organized and financed by the U.S.?

Who invariably blocks the UN from implementing any of these resolutions against the Zionist policy of predatory *Lebensraum*? Why does the U.S. always protect Israel, manifestly against its own destiny, manifestly against our own self-interest?

Jews kicked against the goad for years. Chagrined at the proven charge of racism, the Shoah-boaters screamed, wailed and chewed the scenery in Washington and Hollywood, to have the racism resolution erased—all to no avail.

Finally the resolution declaring that Zionism equalled racism was reversed. Why? Especially since the original resolution was a true statement? But what is truth, as Pontius Pilate asked. (As if truth ever mattered to the Pontius Pilots of the misguided ship of state shipwrecked on the rocks of Zionism.)

Why do Israelis rail against and refuse to let the evicted Pal-

estinians return to their rightful homes? The Jews are just too sensitive to the feelings of all those dispossessed refugees. Suppose they allowed all those dispossessed Muslims and Christians to come back. Wouldn't their feelings be hurt by seeing all those sensitive Jews reveling in their stolen homes and lolling on land stolen from the refugees?

How would the returning Muslims feel when they saw Jews splashing about in swimming pools while they, the dispossessed, had to fight for a glass of water in their diaspora? Wouldn't the returning Christians also feel hurt and inferior?

Despite 50 years of squalor and starvation in refugee "camps," the Palestinians have a percentage of university graduates rivaling any other people in the entire Middle East. So wouldn't they feel inferior living cheek-by-hog-jowl with all those genius Jews?

The Palestinians have somehow managed to survive massacre and penury, slander and Mossad, Uncle Sam and the mezuza-monitored American media, so wouldn't they be embarrassed to return to Israel?

Of course the AshkeNazis wouldn't be embarrassed to have these living legacies of their *Lebensraum* living right next door. It takes a lot to shame a Jew, especially since they have the Shoah-bizness industry for reassurance, a perennial erasure of their shame.

Of course these Jews are too sensitive to permit the Palestinians to return. They don't want to hurt their feelings. Jews shouldn't be allowed by the UN to let those homeless Palestinians return to their homeland. Jews shouldn't be allowed to embarrass those dispossessed Palestinians any more than Jews should have been allowed to shame those black Falashas.

When there were quotas against the number of Hebrews who could hail from Harvard, didn't the Jews understand? Of course they did. With their exquisite social tact they instinctively understood that WASPs weren't simply trying to defend their ancestral preserve against the assaults of alien ideologies. Those WASPs were just being kind. Those pale Episcopalian aristos were simply trying to spare those Hebrews from embarrassment.

So in order to spare the WASPs any further embarrassment, the Jews took over Harvard. It was a reciprocal act of kindness which spared the non-kosher establishment any further shame.

And when Jews were blackballed from all those hoity-toity country clubs, wasn't it just to spare them the embarrassment of being where they didn't belong? Was it really anti-Semitism or an act of Christian charity? Or is that why Jews won't let the Palestinians return? Since the Jews aren't Christians, they don't have to feel embarrassed by a lack of Christian charity?

And when American blacks were segregated and generously provided with their own swimming pools and drinking fountains, why didn't they also understand that this was for their own good? Why didn't they understand that this was not white racism, but merely Talmudic morality and sensitivity at work? Why didn't blacks understand that this was only an effort by discriminating Christians who wanted to spare them embarrassment?

And so we've been deja-viewed all over again, with the AshcaNazi Jews masquerading as Joseph Mengele this time. Maybe now that Israel's Mengelean roots have been exposed, it's time to reverse the reversal of the original UN resolution declaring that Zionism is a form of racism. Hallelujah!

V.S. STINGER

It's a wonder that movie theaters continue to sell tickets when all today's film buffs have to do is push one or two buttons in the comfort of their home and view any number of movies, many of them free or at little cost. No need to go and fight the traffic to the nearest mall or theater complex. Moreover it doesn't snow or rain on the couch where, when parents have gone to bed, there is always room for a girlfriend or boyfriend.

Nevertheless millions of Americans prefer to see their films in theaters. The reasons are not readily understandable. Perhaps the big screen adds a mysterious extra dimension to the film experience. Perhaps the more advanced high-tech sound system acts as a sort of siren song. Being part of an audience may also come into play. Couch potatoes are lonely creatures and what is going on on the relatively minuscule tube doesn't grab the viewers as does the large silver screen. In addition, going out by itself is part of the film experience, especially if it involves dating.

All or some of these factors may explain why so many seats in movie theaters continue to be sold at the time the process of getting to such theaters becomes more and more dangerous. When the movie is over, you may or may not find your car in the parking lot. Even if it hasn't been stolen, there may be some Negro lurking around to steal it—and you—once you put the key in the lock.

To the great delight of muggers, the magnetic pull of big-screen movies continues to overcome the fear of violent confrontation.

TV gave us a rare treat in March when PBS broadcast *The Merry Widow*. It was a tuneful journey beyond description. The works of Franz Lehar, the composer, were banned by Jews immediately after the end of WWII. So for years American audiences were prevented from enjoying the greatest of all operettas. Lehar's crime: a non-Jew, he stayed in Europe during the war and didn't flee to Hollywood like so many Jewish composers.

Howard Ashman, Gary Kalkin, Laurence Mark, Andreas Deja, Lauren Lloyd, Stephen Fields, Rick Leed and Thomas Schumacher are or were top-level officials of the Walt Disney Co. Every single one of them is a charter member of the homosexual community. The first two on the list, Ashman and Kalkin, recently died of AIDS. If this was not enough, a homo magazine quoted Michael Eisner, Jewish head of Disney, as estimating that 40% of the company's 60,000 employees were queer. Though he is not exactly a member of the club, Victor Salva, director of the recent Disney film, *Powder*, is a convicted child molester.

The problem with homos is that, like Jews, they gravitate to the arts, which means they have the ability to imprint their unhealthy mind-set on much of what passes for American culture. Homosexuality makes minorityites out of Majority members because their queer feelings supersede their race feelings. This process of double minorityism, seeping as it does through every nook and cranny of showbiz, has succeeded in manipulating arts in the U.S. to the point where they become little more than a style sheet for perversion.

From Satcom Sal. On February 27 I found a program that I really wanted to watch: *The Wizard of Oz* on ice! At



last there was something that would suitably please both children and adults. I eagerly settled myself to watch the show broadcast by CBS at 8:00. When I first saw a dreadlocked Negro face, I thought I had tuned into the wrong channel. I hadn't. The face belonged to the minority producers' choice for an emcee. The rest of the cast, superb skaters all and beautifully costumed, was white. I tried hard to enjoy the show. But when Dorothy climbed the stairs with the black Wiz in the finale, my residue of tolerance evaporated, as my bitterness at the producers skyrocketed. I soon transferred my irritation, however, from the producers to my WASP neighbors, who put up with this sort of anti-Majority digs night after night.

Did you see *60 Minutes* on March 17? One segment was devoted to glorifying the stumbling, bumbling, mumbling Cassius Clay, otherwise known as Muhammad Ali. His amiable meeting with Fidel Castro was proudly featured. His draft dodging was mentioned, with no hint of censure. Military service, we were informed, was against his religious beliefs. Am I wrong, but wasn't it against Mormon religious beliefs to traffic with blacks? As I recall, Brigham Young University had to capitulate to integration and welcome Negroes into its ranks. Well, it's a sure bet that none of this matters to my good Christian friends, the "Episcocrats," as one local wag calls them.

From Zip 087. It used to be that commercials featured good-looking people, particularly women. Obviously white women are more attractive than black women. Yet over and over the tube lights up with some of the ugliest females on the planet. Whoopi Goldberg, whose hair looks like the rats have been sucking on it all night, is chosen to pitch this or that product. Has everyone gone bonkers? Is Whoopi's omnipresence due to the freakish combination of her Jewish name and black skin? I intend to boycott all products that deliberately inject the ugliest species of blacks into their commercials.

From Zip 121. I wish that all of you residual Limbaugh sympathizers out there ("Well, he's better than nothing.")

could have heard the Fat One's first show after the assassination of Yitzhak Rabin. Once again his listeners were treated to a loving recollection of his Magical Mystery Tour of the Promised Land a couple of years ago. Once again we were reminded that the Israeli muckety-muck who made the most favorable impression on him was Benjamin Netanyahu, the blood-and-soil Likud Party leader. In their lengthy tête-à-tête, Benny successfully managed to impress upon Limbaugh's receptive brain Israel's "security concerns." On cue Rush kept echoing how Yahoo had revealed to him that Israel's pre-1967 borders were only nine miles wide! Throughout Rush's spiel, he repeated "nine miles wide!" like a mantra. I began to wonder whether his affection for the Jewish state was based on their sharing similar dimensions.

From the umpteenth recounting of that very special meeting with Benny Yahoo, Limbaugh segued into a "news analysis" that once again proved why he cannot possibly be considered a genuine friend of the American Majority. He started to criticize the "liberal media" for blam-



Benjamin Netanyahu, Rush's mentor

ing the Israeli rightwing for creating the "climate" which led to Rabin's assassination. He then drew a parallel between the manner in which the media tried to blame all American conservatives for the Oklahoma City bombing and its similar efforts to collectively indict Israeli ultrarightists. This is precisely the kind of trick that makes Limbaugh a stumbling block to the American Majority. He manages to expand one point that we must agree with (denouncing the Oklahoma City bombing) into the larger point that there is a commonality of interest and therefore a natural alliance between American conservatives and Netanyahu's Likud Party. This absurdity poisons much of the traditional American right. In its mortal fear of being branded "anti-Semitic," conservatism is cravenly adopting a "more Semitic than thou" posture towards the hyper-Semitism of the Democratic Party. The cancer is hard at work on Dole, the leader of the congressional mandate to move the American Embassy to Jerusalem—a step to which even a flat-out Hebraic brown-noser like Slick Willie has offered some resistance. What nobody ever explains is what the high-octane Jewish racism of the Likud Party has in common with the traditional concerns of American conservatives.

Like a cat shaking a mouse, Limbaugh rambled on and on about his beloved Promised Land. I remember that at one moment he made the preposterous analogy between any move to give up "Judea and Samaria" (the occupied West Bank) on Israel's part as comparable to the "U.S. giving Florida to the Soviets during the Cold War." The deep thinkers of Likud believe that this piece of absolute nonsense will convince us dumb goyim to snuggle up to the Israeli land-grabbers. It reveals just how totally oblivious these antiquarian Jewish racists are to the human and national rights of the 1 million-plus Palestinians and the sev-

eral million expellees or descendants who just happen to call Judea and Samaria home. That Rush should have bought hook, line and sinker such nonsense indicates a shoddy combination of poor judgment, susceptibility to brainwashing and trembling cowardice. Rush may be a friend of Netanyahu—and we all know that he is a great and good friend of super-Tom Clarence Thomas—but he is no friend of ours.

A few days later while out driving I turned on the radio and heard Limbaugh pontificating about something he called "the biggest lie in American history." When I heard that phrase I could only think of the nation-wrecking whopper about racial equality. Could it be that Limbaugh was actually giving voice to my thoughts? Fat chance! He was making a trivial point about how the Republicans are not actually cutting the budget, but only slowing the rate of its growth and so on. However predictable, I could only feel an enormous letdown. As I reflected, it occurred to me—hardly for the first time—that through its essential cowardice and half-truths contemporary establishment conservatism may actually be doing more damage to the American Majority than the liberal-minority coalition. American conservatism in its present guise serves to lull many of us into a sort of stupor at a time when we so desperately need to be wide awake.

My friends, let's close the books on this one. Rush Limbaugh is one Big, Fat Fraud.

From Zip 782. The animated special, *A Charlie Brown Christmas*, has long been a favorite staple of the holiday season. For the 30th anniversary of the cartoon this past December a number of facts concerning its production were made public for the first time. The powers at CBS had solicited Majority member Charles Schulz, creator of the *Peanuts* comic strip, to come up with something to boost their end-of-year ratings. If he did, all that those CBS execs could see were dollar signs fluttering down like snowflakes.

There was, however, one red flag. Schulz had insisted on a Christian message being at the heart of the cartoon, namely, a brief excerpt from Luke describing the Nativity. "No way," chorused the big boys at America's left-leaningest network. "Nothing about that fellow Jesus. After all, some folks who aren't Christian might take offense. Cool, it Charlie."

Bear in mind this was a Christmas special. Yet the anti-Christians who ran the network wanted no mention of what the holiday season was all about. Keep it secular, they ordered. The Gentile sheeple out there in boob-tube land will be none the wiser for having Christ excised from their biggest holiday.

It turned out they were dealing with Charlie Schulz, not Charlie Tuna.

Schulz told the mediacrats, "No Gospel according to Luke, no *Peanuts* special." Once the Chosen got this message, it didn't take them long to sit down and mull it over. Knowing the huge profits that were certain to accrue from the sponsors when one of America's favorite comic strips appeared in animated form, they promptly but grudgingly gave thumbs up. The ratings after the December 1965 broadcast were stratophoric and the tube masters immediately ordered half a dozen more *Peanuts* specials.

Notes from the Sceptred Isle—John Nobull

Not long ago, I did something I would normally never do. I went with a party of people visiting London on a tour of Jack the Ripper territory—the area where the Ripper murders were committed. Our guide was a Londoner of New England origin, who had done her homework and knew what she was talking about.

Some 14 murders involving the carving up of women took place between 1888 and 1891, but only five of them were obviously committed by the same person, who was never committed for trial, despite being seen in the street with a prostitute a quarter of an hour before her mutilated body was found. He was a very young man dressed in working man's clothes, with a moustache and a red neckerchief. His description exactly matches that of the prime suspect.

What linked those five murders without any shadow of doubt was not just the trade of the victims (all were prostitutes), but the manner of the killing. In every one of these cases, the murder was committed from behind with two cuts of a sharp knife. The first slash into the victim's throat severed the vocal chords. The second cut the throat savagely from ear to ear, almost severing the backbone. Though our guide did not say so, the technique was that used in the Jewish slaughter of animals—a horrible practice, only forbidden in Sweden and Switzerland, inflicted on the unfortunate animal without previous stunning. It is accomplished by Orthodox Jewish slaughterers in accordance with the rules of shechita (kosher killing) and by Halal (Muslim) slaughterers. Some Muslims are prepared to consider stunning the animal first. No Jewish ones will.

Our guide gave the impression that such a death was instantaneous, which is very far from the truth. The severance of the vocal chords prevents the victim from crying out (though a horrible muted emission of breath comes from the severed throat). Even cutting of the throat does not kill immediately. Death does not supervene until the blood leaves the heart. It is a death involving great cruelty. Millions of animals suffer it every day without a peep from animal protection societies worldwide.

Except in one case, when the murderer was disturbed just after he had cut the girl's throat, all the homicides followed the same pattern. Immediately after the throat-cutting, he dragged up his victim's clothes and proceeded to rip up her abdomen in the most horrible random manner, cutting out organs such as the uterus and carrying them away with him. Despite the claim of a doctor who examined one of the victims that the ripping demonstrated medical knowledge, no other doctor thought there was any evidence to bolster this theory. Indeed, a recent check on the evidence by the FBI revealed no such knowledge. The only technique which did show anatomical expertise was the manner of the killing, which was without doubt in accordance with shechita rules. None of the victims was Jewish.

There were few Muslims in the London of that day, and many Jews. All the Ripper's murders took place within the boroughs of Whitechapel and Spitalfields, with the exception of one that occurred in a vault under a bridge in the neighbourhood of the Tower, just outside Whitechapel. As our guide told us, Whitechapel and Spitalfields then had a population about 90% Jewish, many of them from "terrible persecution" in Russian Poland and

the Russian Pale (where Russians and Poles had reacted against the monopolisation of their specialised schools and universities, as well as sectors of the economy, by Jews).

Our guide found the courage to tell us a lot more than that. She quoted the memoirs of Sir Robert Anderson, Deputy Commissioner of the London Metropolitan Police, published shortly before WWI, in which he stated that Jack the Ripper was a Polish Jew. The Ripper was also identified by another Jew, who later refused to testify in court. Then, in 1987, Detective Inspector

Charles Swanson, who had spent a great deal of time on the case, died. His son discovered his copy of Anderson's memoirs, containing Swanson's written comments. Opposite the statement that the Ripper was a Polish Jew, Swanson had written, "His name was Kominsky."

A man called Aaron Kominsky, answering in every way to the description of the Ripper, was committed by his brother shortly after his last murder (that of a prostitute in her seedy lodgings) to the care of a Whitechapel infirmary. Proving difficult to control, he was passed on the lunatic asylum at Colney Hatch, where he attacked a woman keeper with a knife, displayed other violent behaviour and eventually died. Our guide said that, although the murderer was pretty obviously Kominsky, this may not have been publicised for fear of arousing race riots—"since there was a lot of anti-Semitism about at that time." In fact, Warren, the Commissioner of Metropolitan Police, Anderson's boss, almost certainly leaned on the key witness not to testify. Warren resigned shortly afterwards and was blamed for failure to identify the killer, though modern research suggests that he had conducted a very thorough investigation.

Our guide told us a lot about other suspects (including the Duke of Clarence, a feeble-minded member of the royal family), but she concluded that they couldn't have been guilty. Clarence, for example, was in Scotland at the time of one of the murders.

Our guide, a part-time social worker, dwelt at great length on the poverty and squalor of Victorian London, implying that this was, to some extent, responsible for the nature of the crimes. But in Spitalfields, not far from one of the Ripper's assaults, she took us into Fournier Street, with its row of houses running behind Hawksmoor's beautiful church. The architecture of those houses was lovely. They positively radiated neo-classical civilisation and contrasted starkly with the disgusting slum housing run by Jewish landlords in both Whitechapel and Spitalfields. Peter Rachman, driving out the old English tenants and "packin' in the schwartzes" in the Notting Hill Gate of the 1950s, was part of a long tradition of exploitation by Jewish landlords all over the world.

Those lovely houses in Fournier Street were built for Huguenot refugees who had arrived in London at the end of the seventeenth century, after Louis XIV revoked the Edict of Nantes in 1665. What a contrast to nearby areas inhabited by the Jewish immigrants of the 19th century! The Huguenots were valuable people: God-fearing Calvinists who had considerable experience in a whole range of crafts, especially linen and glass manufacture. It all goes to show that people create their own environments, not the other way round, as the do-gooders would have us believe.



Report from the Darkening Tip

Feb. 1: The pressure against the Afrikaans language is mounting in spite of official assurances it is not threatened. President Nelson Mandela has himself made statements indicating that Afrikaans will be pressurized into a subsidiary position.

Feb. 4: The smouldering education issue in South Africa has led to a bloody racial conflict in the town of Vryburg in the Northern Cape Province. After several clashes with the police, black pupils from Vryburg High School became violent, causing great damage in the town, while attacking whites with stones.

Former President F.W. de Klerk has warned that there is something very wrong with the prospect of a monolithic majority party acquiring so much power that South Africa will become a one-party nation. De Klerk was obviously referring to Mandela's African National Congress.

Feb. 5: The South African Broadcasting Corp. has fired some of its top TV presenters, news readers and weather forecasters. They were informed at short notice that their services were no longer needed. All of them are white.

Roelf Meyer, former Minister of Constitutional Development and now Secretary-General of the National Party, has stated that his party must keep its white supporters satisfied while at the same time gaining the support of blacks.

Feb. 6: The government of the Northern Province has taken legal action to force the board of directors of the Primary School of Potgietersrus to accept black pupils.

Violence is taking on chaotic dimensions in KwaZulu/Natal. A special task force had to be sent from Pretoria to the South Coast to protect an investigating team of the police.

Feb. 7: Politicians, members of several labour unions and about 6,000 black children marched through the town of Potgietersrus today shouting slogans as the few hundred white children in the Primary School and their teachers went ahead with their school day unperturbed.

Feb. 9: While de Klerk has put the question: "Are we going to become involved in ethnic political group forming or are we going to establish a nonracial party-political system?", the Conservative Party is calling for talks among all Afrikaner leaders to discuss the future of the Afrikaner people with a view to bringing together those who out of inner conviction belong together.

The Ministry of Education has announced its intention to scrap the Model C school system, nearly all of them Afrikaans schools, and seize their assets without paying compensation. At the same time, farm schools, again mostly Afrikaans, would be expropriated and the owners compensated for the value of the land and buildings.

Feb. 12: Mandela has announced that he is determined to invite Libyan leader Muammar Gaddafi to visit South Africa in defiance of any pressure from the West.

More than 100 Cuban doctors will arrive in South Africa towards the end of this month on a three-year work contract. They will be paid the same as government doctors, according to Dr. Nkosazana Zuma, the Minister of health. Her decision to import

Cuban physicians is one of the most disturbing aspects of her health policies.

Feb. 16: Donald Phalatse, mayor of the eastern Free State town of Wepener, wants to have the statue of the Boer hero, the town's namesake, removed. A senior member of the Conservative Party has called on the local members and supporters of the party to oppose any such attempt.

Obviously as a result of all the built-up racial tensions, Mandela has appealed to Afrikaners to join with other South Africans in a new brand of patriotism.

Feb. 17: Right-wing groups have criticized the Supreme Court judgment ordering the Potgietersrus Primary School to admit black pupils, saying it holds dire consequences for Afrikaans education.

Feb. 20: A World Bank report states that South Africa's social indicators and income inequality were among the worst in the world—comparing unfavorably to similar middle-income developing countries such as Brazil, Malaysia and Thailand.

Feb. 21: Violence erupted in Trompsburg in the Free State yesterday when 20 white fathers chased away about 400 black pupils occupying the Trompsburg Secondary School. The black pupils also wanted to occupy the Trompsburg High School, but they were thrown out from the school by a number of white men after the black pupils had caused considerable damage.


Feb. 22: The rand has devalued to four per dollar—the lowest level yet.

Feb. 23: Tony Leon, leader of the Democratic Party, has stated that the South African government is riddled with so much inefficiency, dishonesty and corruption that it is destroying the country's future.

The governing body of the Potgietersrus Primary School plans to establish an Afrikaans private school following the enrollment of 20 black pupils in the school. In an attempt to defuse the situation, the Northern Province government, the school's governing body, has come up with a plan to split the school. The Sunday Times in a leading article has warned that the government would be wise to allow Afrikaans communities like Potgietersrus the freedom to set up their own private schools, as Germans, Jews and various other communities have long done in South Africa.

Feb. 28: The ANC has emphatically rejected proposals for a "tenth province" for Afrikaners as was suggested by the Volkstaat Council. Afrikaners, like General Coustand Viljoen who have had such hopes, now know that they can forget about it. A long, hard struggle lies ahead for the Afrikaner nation.

Feb. 29: Dr. Harry Mocke, General Secretary of the Afrikaner People's Front with which the Conservative Party and other Afrikaner organizations are affiliated, has issued a statement that the ANC's rejection of a Volkstaat for the Afrikaner nation has closed all doors to the establishment of a sovereign independent republic. "To negotiate for freedom within political structures is therefore a waste of time," Dr. Mocke said.





Canada. The criminal justice system in Canada hit a new low when Justice Joyce ruled that it is illegal to make a joke about the Holocaust. Equally dismaying in Canada's unrelenting crusade against free speech is the government's banning of two important books: *Shockley on Eugenics and Race* and *Will America Drown: Immigration and the Third World Population Explosion*. It won't help if Americans buy the books and send them to their Canadian friends. They will be promptly confiscated by customs officials, just as Stalin's minions seized shipments of anti-Communist books to the Soviet Union. Americans, lucky creatures, can order the books from Scott-Townsend Publishers, Box 34070, NW Washington, DC 20097.

From a correspondent. What a wonderfully progressive and democratic country is Canada. If by chance you find some minor faults with the Holocaust story, your house is burned to the ground, you spend some time in jail and you are sent a *billet-doux* in the form of a pipe bomb. If you are a teacher and slip in a few controversial remarks about the Six Million, you will lose your job and be subjected to a 14-year-long litigious treadmill that practically bankrupts you and ends with your being slapped with a large fine. If you're a teacher and don't allow any objective references to Jews to creep into your classroom, you are suspended from your teaching job and become the target of a huge, vengeful, irresponsible, disheartening inquisition. If you are a columnist and write for a small suburban newspaper far away from the madding crowd, you are dragged in front of a committee, accused of anti-Semitism and other crimes and smeared so thoroughly that not only is your credibility in question, but your very life may be in danger.

Yes, dear friends, come to friendly Canada and learn firsthand what democracy and progress really mean in this last decade of the 20th century.

Britain. The downsizing of Western heroes continues apace. Take Marco Polo, the great Venetian explorer who made that path-breaking overland trip to China in the 13th century. If Jews have their way—and where don't they?—Marco will be reduced to the runner-up of one Jacob d'Ancona, an Italian Jew, who claimed his sea voyage to China began in 1270, one year earlier than Marco's overland trek.

The manuscript of Jacob's book, mysteriously

kept secret for seven centuries, has been handed over by a mysterious, unnamed bibliophile to a Jewish scholar, David Selbourne, for translation. What makes Jacob's work particularly attractive to the Chosen is his frenetic attacks on Christianity. He shrugs off Jesus as "that man" (*quell'omo*).

France. Jean-Marie Le Pen, the Front National chief, made a trip to Moscow a few months ago to attend the wedding of gadfly Vladimir Zhirinovsky, the fast-talking, loose-lipped Russian nationalist. Le Pen, defending his host against media scavengers, said Zhirinovsky had never been a Communist. Instead, Le Pen attested, he was a Russian patriot who decried the cosmopolitanism and globalism so dear to the heart of Boris Yeltsin. Le Pen denied the new groom had ever been a member of the KGB and Mossad, describing these polemics as "crap." Praising Russia for its historic mission of protecting Eastern Europe from Asiatic hordes, the French nationalist leader ended his interview with his newly coined slogan, "Patriots of every country unite."

Germany. Israeli TV recently broadcast a tale about a Jewish plot to poison the drinking water in Hamburg, Nuremberg or Munich after WWII. The wholesale murder was to be carried out by Jewish soldiers in the British army, who were given the assignment of transporting barrels of lethal chemicals to Germany. Somehow the shipment was discovered in transit and the poison dumped into the Mediterranean. One Jewish revenge-is-sweet gang did pull off a mini-massacre by feeding poisoned bread to 300 SS men in a concentration camp near Nuremberg.

By hook, crook and hardscrabble negotiations David Irving obtained the rights to the voluminous diary of Dr. Josef Goebbels. In any normal society this would be considered a literary coup. Since historians rely heavily on diaries of important personages for their knowledge of past events, the spin of Goebbels, the #3 Nazi, is certainly worth examining. Unfortunately, when it comes to reasoned discourse these days, the West is not a normal society. The very same people who keep lauding freedom of speech categorize Irving as a brazen, prevaricating chronicler who must be silenced.

In no time the Jewish censorship behemoth went into high gear denouncing

and deriding both Irving and St. Martin's Press, his publisher. Perhaps the most humiliating phase of the campaign to kill the book was the performance of St. Martin's chairman, Thomas J. McCormack, who, after announcing that most of his family "was Jewish," cravenly caved in to the ADL and ordered the page proofs of Irving's opus consigned to the flames. Time's full-page story on the affair was just about the lowest genuflection to Big Brother in the history of American journalism. Time Warner's CEO is Jewish. Time's editor-in-chief is Jewish. But that doesn't mean that the writer, Paul Gray, a hack essayist, has to cut his cultural roots to the quick to curry favor with his bosses. What is unfolding in the West is that history is being replaced by religion. Irving's book is merely the latest sacrifice on the altar of the Holocaust.

The German right-wing paper, *Deutsche Wochen-Zeitung* (Oct. 27, 1995), carried a grisly story about Sgt. John C. Woods, chief hangman of the Nuremberg Trials, who designed a trap door that he deliberately made too small for the job. In organized hangings, a trap door springs open and the victim falls through it with enough speed to break his neck. Thanks to Woods' design, the trap door sprang back and smashed the faces of the condemned Nazis as they fell through, slowing up their fall so they were slowly strangled by the noose. Photographs of the dead men with blood all over their mangled faces substantiates Woods' devilish parting shot at the Nazi bigwigs.


Russia: Gennady Zyuganov, Communist Party leader and the front-runner in the Russian presidential campaign, had this to say in one of his stump speeches: "The Jewish influence continues to grow. Traditionally, the Jewish Diaspora controls the continent's financial life. As its market develops, the Jewish Diaspora becomes, in a way, a majority stockholder of Western civilization's entire financial and commercial system." As an anticlimactic addendum, Zyuganov went on to say that Western civilization is incompatible with traditional Russian values.

The administration of the city of Moscow was the leading sponsor of the Jerusalem 3,000 festival held there in late March. While gangsters looted the city, people stood in bread lines and workers pounded the pavement looking for jobs, Moscow Mayor Yuri Luzhkov allocated about \$31,000 for the event. Luzhkov is a close friend of Vladimir Gusinsky's, the president of the Russian Jewish Congress.

From a subscriber. While on a recent trip to Russia, I chanced to query a few random Russian acquaintances as to the alleged persecution of Jews in the former Soviet Union and the need, if any, for the U.S. to grant them refugee status. I was either laughed at or considered extremely stupid. Jews, I was informed, enjoyed a favored position in the Soviet Union. They were prominent, even dominant, in the professions and business circles and, in general, were doing better than ethnic Russians and Ukrainians.

Poland: Two Polish Jews in a country that is supposed to be almost Jewless have done little to add to Poland's cultural prestige. One of them, Jerzy Kosinski, committed suicide some years after his nonfiction bestseller, *The Painted Bird*, was revealed to be a fraud from cover to cover.

Jerzy Urban, the other culture vulture, is still going strong. A former mouthpiece for the Communist regime, which is now bouncing back after the defeat of Lech Walesa in the recent presidential election, Urban has switched from Marxism to sewer-level tabloids, a move that has made him a millionaire several times over. Urban now lives in a lavish villa outside Warsaw. He proudly points out to visitors to his huge office in the Polish capital his favorite painting, which features a banana remodeled to resemble a penis.



**Culturally vulturish
Jerzy Kosinski**

A mini-mall being constructed across the street from the Auschwitz "death camp" caused much caterwauling and gnashing of teeth in high Jewish circles both in Poland and abroad.

Although employment is badly needed in the town boasting this most sacred of Holocaust memorials, the project has been condemned by the president of Poland, who—under Jewish thumbscrewery—has done his best to stop the construction.

The mall, if completed, will have a home and garden center, supermarket, fast-food restaurant, clothing and textiles stores, and a parking ramp.

Rabbi Avi Weiss, national president of the Coalition for Jewish Concerns, is screaming that the Polish church and Polish government "have no moral back-

bone" and are to blame for the mall project. He added that he would go to great lengths to stop construction, "even if it means sitting in front of tractors." Come to think of it, Avi, what is the Auschwitz camp itself but a mall that sells one product—Semitism.

Defying the powers that be, who have at least temporarily managed to shut down the project, some patriotic Poles plan to keep fighting for the mall project. The leader of the group, Boleslaw Tejkowski, recently was given a suspended sentence of eight months for urging Poles to "fight Jews and Germans" who, he claims, were "buying out Poland." Tejkowski believes that Poles and not foreigners should decide what should or shouldn't be built in Poland.

Bosnia. Just as in the aftermath of WWI, when American and British diplomats created the incompatible, inviable states of Czechoslovakia and Yugoslavia, composed as they were of disparate, mutually hostile peoples, the Yanks and the Brits are again planting the seeds of future conflict by creating a "multicultural" (like present-day America!) Bosnia. The Muslim presence throughout Europe having already reached a critical point that evokes cries of protest from French, Germans, Belgians and other Europeans, the U.S. and Britain are attempting to establish a Muslim state openly supported, morally and militarily, by Iranian and Turkish co-religionists. Most Europeans would like to see the Islamic presence in Europe, including Istanbul (historically and rightfully Constantinople) disappear completely. Europe certainly does not need a bunch of mullahs running around preaching jihads.

The intriguing part of the U.S. intervention in (invasion of) Bosnia is that so far there have been many more casualties among the corporate hotshots and bureaucrats who have visited there than among the troops themselves. In the air crash near Dubrovnik some 30 high-powered bureaucrats and businessmen were killed, compared to only a couple of G.I. fatalities on the "front lines." Let us hope this ratio continues to hold. If it does, we may find Clinton and his warmongers will develop much less interest in the Bosnian fracas, maybe as little interest as Clinton had in the Vietnam War.

Five days before the plane crash, its regular pilot, Lt. Col. James Albright, was relieved from duty. The reason for his "firing" was somewhat murky. His boss, Brig. Gen. William E. Stevens claimed Albright was going over his head. A spokesman

for Albright disputed this by saying the Air Force colonel had criticized his superiors for ordering him to ferry VIPs around in "unsafe conditions." In any case, it is interesting to note that Gen. Stevens, the man who relieved Albright, is a Negro. Could Stevens be an affirmative action general? Could Commerce Secretary Ron Brown have been done in by the very policy which he supported so intensely? After Albright's outburst, Stevens nevertheless ruled that the VIP flights would continue. In this connection, it might also be edifying to find out who took over as pilot of the plane that flew into a Croatian mountain.

Egypt. The government has asked Israel for \$4 billion in reparations for the Zionist state's massacre of hundreds of Egyptian war prisoners and civilians during the 1956 and Six-Day Wars. Following the discovery of mass graves, the Egyptian media accused Israel of committing "Nazi-style" crimes.

Argentina. Carlos Menem Jr., the son of Brazil's President Carlos Menem, died a year ago when his helicopter became entangled in some power lines and crashed. Although the file in the case was eventually closed, a month ago a federal judge reopened it in the wake of new charges by Zuleima Yoma, the president's separated wife and a Syrian like her husband's parents, that her son had died at the hands of Mossad agents. Like many Argentinians, she believes that Jews were avenging the massive terrorist bombings of Jewish installations in Buenos Aires. On July 18, 1994, the blast of a building housing various Jewish organizations killed some 100 people and wounded 200. Two years earlier in March 1992, the bombing of the Israeli Embassy killed 34 and seriously injured more than 100.

Emilie Schindler, 88, is not all that enthusiastic about her husband, the protagonist of Spielberg's vastly overrated *Schindler's List*. Her recently published autobiography characterizes her late husband as a raging pragmatist, who could have cared less about the lives of his 1,300 Jewish workers. All he wanted from them was work, which wouldn't have been forthcoming if they had been trundled off to Auschwitz. Once again Hollywood can't seem—or doesn't want—to get its facts straight as far as Jews are concerned. As for Spielberg, Emilie says, "What does he know about my life? Absolutely nothing." Her opinion about her late husband was equally bleak: "I did everything for him. He did nothing for me."



On the Road Again!

David Duke, still alive and still kicking, is running for the U.S. Senate. He hopes to win Louisiana's Republican senatorial primary in September and then have a face-to-face contest with a black Democrat for the Senate seat being vacated by J. Bennett Johnston, to whom Duke lost in 1990, though he did garner a respectable 38% of the vote.

Duke hasn't changed one iota of his political pitch. Indubitably his all-for-the-Majority program is as popular as ever among voters, not only in Louisiana but nationwide. Giving voters what they want, however, is not a priority in this hyped polity called democracy. Voters want an end to illegal immigration and a sharp reduction in the legal influx. Voters want a harsh crack-down on crime, tougher sentences and many more and many faster executions of the murderous criminals in our midst. Voters also want to balance the budget.

The above is what voters want, but it is not what voters are getting. What they are getting is what the media and government want them to get. When a person like Duke or even a pale imitation (Buchanan comes to mind) runs for office he is demonized so thoroughly that the ordinary citizen feels that voting for such a person is a quasi-crime. Extremism, despite Barry Goldwater's cliché, is still a vice and millions of Majority members would rather vote for a "safe" mainstream candidate or stay away from the voting booth altogether for fear of being tagged an extremist. Candidates like Duke or Buchanan would get perhaps 25% more voter support if the media and the establishment would treat them even half-fairly.

Other factors, in addition to routine demonization, are called into play to prevent pro-Majority candidates from winning any election. Such candidates have to file a mountain of financial reports so labyrinthine that even a mathematical genius like the Unabomber could not fathom them. Duke is still being investigated for transgressions arising from his campaigns of several years back.

Another red flag is voting fraud, widespread in certain parts of the country. Big-city political machines will go to any length to prevent someone they don't control from winning an election, even if it involves outright ballot stuffing. If that method should fail and if it appears nothing can stop an America Firster from being elected, then there is always the Huey Long and George Wallace treatment.

In sum, a pro-Majority candidate has to do much more than toss his hat in the ring. He has to duel with a 100% hostile media, be familiar with encyclopedic election laws and guard against the massive vote fraud that may be called into play to defeat him. Most important, he must do what he can to protect himself from physical attacks. American politics has a large criminal streak, a streak broad enough to include assassination.

A lot of roadblocks stand in the way of someone like David Duke. To help him get through them, green stuff is needed. Duke's campaign address is P.O. Box 88, Covington, LA 70434.

Re-Elect Nobody

A gentleman named Gerald Sprouse has dreamed up a clever way to stop congressional seniority, which permits politicians who have served half a lifetime in Congress to dominate the all-powerful committees. Sprouse wants voters to re-elect nobody, meaning by that it is alright to elect representatives and senators the first time, but not to keep re-electing and re-electing them so seniority inevitably puts them in the legislative driver's seat. Any subscriber intrigued by the "re-elect nobody" idea may write to Gerald Sprouse for a free bumper sticker. His address is P.O. Box 830, Colteawah, TN 37363.

Conference Booster

I would like to strongly encourage all readers of Instauration to attend the American Renaissance Conference in Louisville on Memorial Day weekend, May 25-27. As one who attended the A.R. Conference two years ago, I can tell you it was intellectually first-rate. Brilliant, incisive speakers of national and international prominence discussed topics embargoed by the media. A thoroughly upscale affair, it offers an all-important networking opportunity. Get involved! Call (502) 637-3242 for info.

331

Reactive Cop

Sgt. Edward Kirste, 26-year-old veteran of the much maligned L.A. County Sheriff's Dept., has founded the Association of White Male Peace Officers. He's getting holy hell for his efforts, which leaves him mystified, because Asian and black peace officers have their own protective groups. It has taken Kirste some time to figure out that in the bloodshot eyes of the media what is good for black and yellow geese is not good for white ganders.

328

Ripoff's — You Better Believe It!



WYATT EARP
(1848-1929)
WESTERN LAWMAN
BURIED IN THE "HILLS OF ETERNITY" JEWISH CEMETERY IN COLMA, CALIFORNIA... THOUGH NOT A JEW — HE WAS MARRIED TO **JOSEPHINE MARCUS!**

"DIAMONDS"
ARE UNITS IN
THE MOSSAD
(ISRAELI SPY NETWORK)
THAT HANDLE
COMMUNICATIONS TO
AGENTS IN ARAB COUNTRIES!

WHAT GOES AROUND COMES AROUND!

BECAUSE THE CROSS WAS ANATHEMA, IMMIGRATING JEWS UNFAMILIAR WITH THE ENGLISH ALPHABET SIGNED THEIR DOCUMENTS WITH A CIRCLE INSTEAD OF AN "X". SINCE THE YIDDISH WORD FOR CIRCLE IS "KIKEL", YIDDISH AUTHOR LEO ROSTEN BELIEVES THE WORD "KIKE" DERIVES FROM THIS PRACTICE. (INCIDENTALLY, YOU WON'T FIND THIS INFORMATION IN THE ELLIS ISLAND MUSEUM!)